Early onset of neural synchronization in the contextual associations network
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Objects are more easily recognized in their typical context. However, is contextual information activated early enough to facilitate the perception of individual objects, or is contextual facilitation caused by postperceptual mechanisms? To elucidate this issue, we first need to study the temporal dynamics and neural interactions associated with contextual processing. Studies have shown that the contextual network consists of the parahippocampal, retrosplenial, and medial prefrontal cortices. We used functional MRI, magnetoencephalography, and phase synchrony analyses to compare the neural response to stimuli with strong or weak contextual associations. The context network was activated in functional MRI and preferentially synchronized in magnetoencephalography (MEG) for stimuli with strong contextual associations. Phase synchrony increased early (150–250 ms) only when it involved the parahippocampal cortex, whereas retrosplenial-medial prefrontal cortices synchrony was enhanced later (300–400 ms). These results describe the neural dynamics of context processing and suggest that context is activated early during object perception.

We know from experience that specific contexts are associated with specific objects. Seeing a jumbo-sized bucket of popcorn in a movie theater would not surprise anyone familiar with American movie theaters. However, at the opera, champagne and chocolate might be the more common sight. Whether how context can facilitate visual recognition has been the subject of much research and vigorous debate. Behavioral research studying the effects of contextual information in visual perception has shown that information about context can facilitate recognition of visual scenes and objects (1–5). However, Hollingworth and Henderson (6) have argued that at least some of this contextual facilitation is attributable to a response bias and that any contextual influence may be the result of later postidentification processes rather than early activation of contextual information during recognition (6, 7). Here, we address the central question of when in the recognition process contextual information is activated by examining the temporal dynamics of neural regions involved in processing contextual information. Furthermore, we use this information regarding the temporal dynamics of the areas involved in contextual processing to help elucidate the functions of the individual members of the context network.

In the past decade, neuroimaging studies using stimuli with strong and weak contextual associations have identified the components and basic properties of the network that mediates context-based associations; studies (8–18) have reported a core set of regions (Fig. 1A) that are activated by contextual associations: the parahippocampal cortex (PHC), the retrosplenial complex (RSC), and in some cases, the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC). Characterizing the individual and combined roles of these regions in contextual association processing has been challenging, because the PHC, RSC, and MPFC historically have been implicated in numerous cognitive processes. The PHC is strongly activated during scene or place recognition and spatial navigation (19), and it has been labeled the parahippocampal place area (PPA), because it is preferentially activated when one views pictures of places (20, 21). In addition, PFC has been shown to play an important role in episodic memory (22–25). These seemingly disparate roles of PHC can be reconciled if its core function is taken more globally as mediating contextual associations (8, 10, 26, 27), with spatial contextual associations processed in the PPA and nonspatial contextual associations processed in an abutting, slightly anterior region of the PHC (8, 10). In support of this view, studies have shown that the PHC response is modulated based on the degree of contextual information contained in single objects (8, 17, 27).

Similarly to the PHC, the RSC is consistently activated in tasks involving spatial navigation (28), semantic and episodic memory (29), and scene and object perception (8, 15, 30). As with the PHC, the RSC has been shown to be involved in many different cognitive processes, which makes it difficult to identify its specific function or functions (31). One possibility is that it translates allocentric representations that it receives from the hippocampus and PHC into egocentric representations (31); another possibility is that it transforms a particular instance of a context into a more generic representation of that context (8, 26, 32). The MPFC is likewise implicated in a vast number of cognitive and emotion-related functions, including semantic integration of current context, self-relevant processing, inferring mental states of others, and shifting one’s perspective (17, 33–35).

Developing a better understanding of the specific roles of the PHC, RSC, and MPFC in context processing requires that we learn how these components of the context network function and interact with one another by examining the neural dynamics and communication patterns within this network and beyond. Specifically, we wanted to know when and under what conditions contextual associations (CA) modulate functional communication between the PHC, RSC, and MPFC as well as the visual cortex and possibly, other visual object processing regions, such as the fusiform and orbitofrontal cortex (36–44). Therefore, we used magnetoencephalography (MEG) to characterize the spatiotemporal dynamics and cross-cortical communication within the contextual associations network as well as with other regions involved in visual recognition. Neural oscillations play a critical role in the timing of neural events and tend to be synchronized in
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Results
citation is useful (6). Extracted early enough to facilitate recognition, where such a factor network directly tests whether contextual information is covarying phase relationship with one another (49). Unlike power estimates, which reflect the extent of synchronized neural firing, phase-locking estimates provide information about the timing of firing and interconnectivity between neural regions (50), and they are believed to be a more reliable measure of higher-level functions (51).

The behavioral paradigm that we used to investigate the dynamics of contextual association processing had been tested and used in previous functional MRI (fMRI) studies (8) in a blocked design. In the present study, we used a combination of rapid even-related design with the same stimulus set in both fMRI and MEG and used the fMRI activations to inform our region of interest (ROI) selections in MEG. We showed participants pictures of single objects with strong and weak CA (Fig. 1B) and asked them to respond through a button box when they recognized the object. The participants were not told that some objects had strong CA, whereas others had weak CA. Our hypothesis was that strong CA objects, because of their more extensive and powerful associations, should elicit increased phase locking between the core contextual and visual regions compared with weak CA objects. Finally, the timing of phase locking in the context network directly tests whether contextual information is extracted early enough to facilitate recognition, where such facilitation is useful (6).

Results

Behavioral Results. The mean response time (RT) to recognize the objects in strong CA (SCA) and weak CA (WCA) conditions was very similar (SCA = 778 ± 504 ms and WCA = 773 ± 477 ms; paired samples P > 0.82). Each subject’s RTs were screened for outliers (2 SD above the mean) within condition. This resulted in 4.1% of trials being eliminated from the grand mean computation (3.9% for SCA and 4.3% for WCA). One subject’s RT means were a group outlier (2.47 and 2.54 SD above the group mean for SCA and WCA, respectively); however, excluding this participant’s RT did not alter the relationship between SCA and WCA RT (623 ± 158 ms and 622 ± 205 ms, respectively). Participants were only asked to respond when they recognized the object, and no verification or feedback as to the correctness of the response was provided; the task was not designed to test contextual facilitation. However, these results are comparable with the RTs obtained with a different cohort of subjects using a largely overlapping set of stimuli and the same task, where the SCA and WCA RTs were likewise statistically equivalent (SCA = 702 ms and WCA = 684 ms, P = 0.76) (8). Therefore, any differences in the neural activity between SCA and WCA conditions could not be ascribed to RT and task performance differences.

fMRI Results. A set of ROIs (PHC, RSC, and MPFC) that we had identified a priori based on activations of contextual association processing in previous studies (8, 10, 27) showed significantly greater activation for the SCA > WCA contrast in this experiment, replicating the previous studies (Fig. S1). Given our a priori selection of the ROIs, we used the statistical threshold of P < 0.001, uncorrected, with 5-voxel extent threshold and whole-brain t1,14 = 3.79, which is slightly more conservative than the thresholding parameters that optimally balance Type I and Type II errors in fMRI activations (P < 0.005, uncorrected) with 10-voxel extent threshold (52). The following foci were activated above the threshold and are reported here as the group statistical peak of activation in average Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space coordinates: PHC (−30, −30, and −26), RSC (−10, −46, and 14; −8, −58, and 26), and MPFC (−14, 58, and −10). Other major activation clusters included the right hippocampus (34, −30, and −18), right RSC (16, −56, and 18), left fusiform cortex (−36, −42, and −14), left middle occipital gyrus (−28, −82, and −2), left (−40, 14, and −2) and right (40, 20, and 2) insular cortex, and left middle temporal gyrus (−68, −14, and 2). The context network ROIs in the left hemisphere were used to anatomically guide the selection of the MEG context network ROIs used for phase-locking analysis, as described in ROI Selection and Extraction in Methods. The fMRI methods are described in SI Methods.

MEG Phase-Locking Results. There was stronger phase locking in the lower β-band for the core context regions for the SCA vs. WCA conditions (Fig. 2). Specifically, there was significantly greater phase locking in the SCA condition, occurring in the lower β-band between early visual regions in the occipital cortex and MPFC (P = 0.02; all MEG phase-locking P values corrected for multiple time frequency point comparisons as described in SI Methods). This phase locking between the occipital cortex and MPFC began early, peaking between 150 and 200 ms at ~15 Hz. Another small phase-locking cluster higher in the β-band (~22 Hz) was significant (P = 0.04) from 200 to 220 ms. Beginning slightly later and overlapping with the occipital cortex–PHC phase locking, PHC and RSC showed significantly greater (P = 0.03) phase locking in the SCA condition in the lower β-band (13–15 Hz), peaking between 170 and 240 ms. Phase locking between the occipital cortex and RSC was enhanced in the SCA condition in the later period, peaking between 310 and 360 ms (P = 0.03) at ~15 Hz. Phase locking of the third region in the context network, MPFC, with these regions was much weaker. PHC–MPFC did not exhibit significant phase locking (P = 0.62), whereas RSC and MPFC showed significantly greater phase locking in the upper β-range only, centered at ~22 Hz, which occurred in the later period, between 370 and 400 ms (P = 0.05). Last, the occipital cortex and MPFC did not show significantly different phase locking (P = 0.27). We also computed the phase angles for the significant time frequency periods in our phase-locking ROI comparisons for each subject and found that, in
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43, 44). Specifically, we additionally examined phase locking in the SCA condition relative to the WCA condition. (ii) This significant increase in phase locking occurred primarily in the lower β-band, beginning and peaking early (∼150–220 ms from stimulus onset) between the occipital cortex and PHC in the lower (∼15 Hz) and higher (∼22 Hz) β-band followed by and overlapping with PHC–RSC phase locking that occurred between 170 and 240 ms and ∼13 Hz. (iii) Greater phase locking between the occipital cortex and RSC started later, peaking between 310 and 360 ms also in the lower β-band (∼15 Hz). (iv) The medial prefrontal cortex showed phase locking only with the RSC, which was weaker, relatively late (∼370–400 ms) and in the higher β-band (∼22 Hz).

The pattern of phase-locking enhancement that we found for SCA stimuli compared with WCA stimuli suggests that contextual information is extracted quite early during the course of object recognition. Indeed, the timing of the interactions between the visual cortex and PHC and between the PHC and RSC (Fig. 2A) coincides with the time period when object recognition is believed to occur (43, 53–55). This early contextual activation is at odds with the proposal of a recent study that asserted that context-related activity in the PHC reflects explicit post-recognition imagining of place information (56). Instead, fMRI studies of the respective roles of the PHC and RSC suggest that the PHC is involved in extracting contextual associations from specific instances of stimuli, whereas the RSC invokes a more abstract, prototypical representation of that particular context (8, 26, 32). This period of phase locking between the PHC and RSC, thus, may reflect the translation of stimulus-specific contextual associations into a more generic context representation. Although our paradigm did not explicitly test or require contextual facilitation, the early context-based activation that we have observed is in agreement with the idea that contextual information is extracted and processed early enough for facilitating object recognition.

Later, the RSC begins phase locking with the occipital cortex at around 300 ms from stimulus onset. Generally, neural activity after about 200–300 ms has been associated predominantly with feedback processes (57, 58). Therefore, this relatively late phase locking between the RSC and occipital cortex may reflect feedback from the RSC to early visual areas. Such feedback could be seen as predictions that bias processing in the early visual regions to facilitate perception of subsequent stimuli from the same context.

The relatively late (∼370–400 ms) RSC–MPFC phase locking was relatively weak and therefore, should be interpreted with caution. Nevertheless, the timing (around the N400) and prefrontal involvement are consistent with reports in the event-related potential (ERP) literature ascribing such activations to integration of semantic information into the current context (59–62). In particular, the neural response 400 ms after a stimulus

Discussion
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In contrast to the phase-locking data, local power did not differ between conditions in either the early or late time frequency bands of interest (tfBOI) in any of the context regions, as reported in detail in SI Methods. (A) Significantly greater phase locking was seen in the early (100–250 ms) period between the PHC and the RSC in the SCA condition relative to the WCA condition. (B) Significantly greater late (250–400 ms) phase locking was seen between the RSC and MPFC in the SCA condition relative to the WCA condition. Fig. S3 also shows the reliability of MEG signal extraction from the context network regions. Virtually all cases, they were significantly different from 0° or 180°, as were the mean group phase angles. These results are reported in detail in SI Methods.

Finally, to examine whether this phase locking was specific to CA processing and the core context regions and not any object recognition-related processes and regions, we also tested phase locking between regions that we have previously observed to be activated in both fMRI and MEG during object recognition (41, 43, 44). Specifically, we additionally examined phase locking between the fusiform and orbitofrontal cortex and between these regions and the occipital cortex, PHC, RSC, and MPFC. Critically, although these pair-wise comparisons showed increased early phase locking relative to a prestimulus baseline, this phase locking did not differ between SCA and WCA conditions. This is consistent with the more general role that the fusiform and orbitofrontal cortices play in achieving and facilitating object recognition rather than the more specialized task of activating and processing the contextual associations of visual stimuli, which is attributed to the PHC, RSC, and MPFC.
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The relatively late (∼370–400 ms) RSC–MPFC phase locking was relatively weak and therefore, should be interpreted with caution. Nevertheless, the timing (around the N400) and prefrontal involvement are consistent with reports in the event-related potential (ERP) literature ascribing such activations to integration of semantic information into the current context (59–62). In particular, the neural response 400 ms after a stimulus
steadily declines for consecutive objects or words that are contextually and semantically congruent (61). When a lexical or visual stimulus is semantically incongruent with the given context, anterior brain activity is usually more negative and posterior brain activity is more positive than for semantically congruent stimuli (60–62). Furthermore, the MPFC in particular has been implicated in the integration of semantic associations into a subjective context (17). In that study, participants were asked during a memorization task to indicate whether they remembered a given stimulus by associating it with some subjective experience (an association trial) or by noting some visual feature of the stimulus (a feature trial). They found that the MPFC (along with the PHC hippocampus and RSC) showed greater activation for association trials than for feature trials. The stimuli encoded by forming an association were also better remembered (17). Thus, we propose that the late phase locking between the RSC and MPFC may represent the integration of the context evoked by a particular object into the current context frame.

Importantly, the timing of the phase-locking enhancement and the task in which the participants engaged (simple stimulus recognition, which did not explicitly require the activation of its context) strongly suggest that contextual associations are evoked early and automatically during object recognition rather than strictly as a postperceptual process. The timing of the significant phase locking in our study and the frequency bands in which they occurred are consistent with MEG and scalp or intracranial electroencephalography (EEG) studies investigating object recognition processes (43, 55, 63–67). Bar et al. (43) found greater phase locking between the occipital and orbitofrontal cortex and later, between the orbitofrontal and fusiform cortex when stimuli were successfully recognized rather than unrecognized. The same pattern of phase-locking enhancement was observed when the stimuli were low-pass filtered rather than high-pass filtered (magnocellular-biased low-spatial frequency stimuli have been shown to facilitate object recognition through the orbitofrontal cortex) (41, 43, 44). Similarly, Ghuman et al. (55) recently showed that facilitation of visual object recognition using repetition resulted in greater phase locking between the dorsal prefrontal and fusiform cortex, occurring between 190 and 270 ms in the low (~14 Hz) β-frequency band. Additionally, the earlier that this phase locking peaked, the more object recognition was facilitated. This is the same time frequency band in which SCA objects showed greater visual–PHC and PHC–RSC phase locking in the current study.

Moreover, the anatomical regions between which MEG phase locking was enhanced for the SCA vs. WCA objects are consistent with a host of fMRI studies exploring the neural substrates of contextual association processing (8, 10, 17, 27, 56). They also overlap substantially with the default mode network, particularly interactions that involved PHC, RSC, and MPFC (39, 50, 51). Moreover, if the physical differences had accounted for the phase-locking differences between the SCA and WCA conditions, we would expect these differences to manifest themselves mostly in the low-level visual processing areas and perhaps, low- and intermediate-level object form regions in the cortex, not the orbitofrontal cortex rather than in higher-level association regions. However, in contrast, phase synchrony differed significantly only when the relatively high-level regions specifically implicated in contextual association processing (i.e., PHC, RSC, and MPFC) were involved, and we found no significant phase-locking differences otherwise. This phase-locking sequence preferentially occurs when the stimuli with SCA bind this network together.

To conclude, the early phase-locking enhancement that we found for objects with SCA indicates that contextual information is activated early during object recognition rather than solely as a late postperceptual process. Such rapid activation of contextual associations and the ensuing facilitation of recognition make sense if one thinks of the evolutionary pressures faced by most organisms. For example, seeing a paw print or scat of a predator and rapidly activating the context associated with this image could afford the prey animal enough time for an escape, conferring it a significant evolutionary advantage over time. The brain constantly generates predictions about what it is about to perceive next (72), and activating contextual associations early during perception allows the brain to focus the gaze, attention, and action where it is most likely to yield biologically important information.

Methods

Participants. Fifteen subjects participated in the fmri study (all right-handed; eight males). Nine of fifteen subjects (five males) also participated in the MEG experiment. No participants were excluded from the study. The age range was 22–39 y, with the mean age of 25.5 y and SD of 5.5 y. All had normal or corrected to normal vision. Their informed written consent was obtained according to the procedures of the Massachusetts General Hospital Institutional Review Board and approved under Human Studies Protocols 2000P-000949 and 2002P-002035.

Stimuli and Task. We used color pictures of everyday objects in the two conditions of interest (SCA and WCA conditions). The object stimuli in the conditions of interest (i.e., objects with SCA and WCA) were selected on the basis of survey ratings and had been used previously (8). Briefly, the SCA and WCA items were obtained by conducting separate surveys with a separate population of subjects. All SCA objects were rated as the most typical objects of a particular context (e.g., a stove for the kitchen context) by a group of 35 subjects. Ratings from another group of 18 subjects were used to compile a list of objects that were not strongly associated with any particular context but rather, weakly associated with many different contexts (examples in Fig. 18). Although one might wish for objects without any contextual associations as a comparison condition, in practice, real objects never appear in isolation and thus, are not devoid of any contextual associations. Therefore, the comparison in this study was between objects that should activate the context with which they are strongly associated and objects that should not
strongly activate any particular context but may weakly activate many contexts (8). In the third condition, which was included for other purposes and not analyzed in this study, abstract 2D shapes were shown. All stimuli were displayed on a gray background and spanned a maximum of 9.2° of visual angle. Images were displayed using the stimulus presentation package Psychtoolbox (73) running in Matlab (Mathworks) on a Macintosh Power Mac G4. The conditions comprised 53 pictures each, which were repeated three times, one in each of three sessions. Stimulus pictures appeared on the screen for 700 ms with a 300-ms interstimulus interval. The stimulus onsets were also randomly jittered by 0–300 ms. During MEG recording, the stimuli were projected onto a translucent screen positioned in front of the seated participant with the display resolution of 1,024 × 768 pixels and a refresh rate of 75 Hz using an LP350 DLP projector (InFocus). Subjects were instructed to report when they recognized the object (in the conditions of interest) or report that the stimulus was an abstract shape. No feedback was given after the response, and subjects were unaware of the purpose of the experiment.

MEG Methods. The MEG was acquired with a 306-channel Neuromag Vectorview whole-head system (Elekta Neuromag Oy) comprising 204 orthogonally oriented planar gradiometers and 102 magnetometers at 102 locations. The system was housed in a three-layer magnetically shielded room (Imedco AG). To compute the head position inside the MEG dewar, four head-position indicator (HPI) electrodes were affixed to the subject’s head. The positions of the HPI electrodes on the head as well as those of multiple points on the scalp were entered with a magnetic digitizer (Polhemus FastTrack 3D) in a head coordinate frame defined by anatomical landmarks, which included the nasion and the left and right auricular points. Eye blinks were monitored with four electrooculogram (EOG) sensors positioned above and beside the subjects’ eyes. The MEG, EOG, and HPI sensors were sampled at 600 Hz, band pass-filtered online in the range of 0.1–200 Hz, and stored for offline analysis. Running online averages were computed to monitor and note noisy or nonfunctioning channels.

MEG data were analyzed with the MNE software package (Hämäläinen). Both the gradiometer and magnetometer data were included in the analysis. First, MEG data were screened for eye blink artifacts and low pass-filtered at 40 Hz. Trials that exceeded particular thresholds and noisy or nonfunctioning (flat) channels were eliminated from further analyses. A high-resolution structural MRI, acquired on a Siemens Allegra 3T scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions), was used to construct a forward model and visualize MEG sources. The MRI and MEG coordinates frames were coregistered using the HPI and head surface points. A single-layer boundary element model (BEM) (74) was constructed from the anatomical MRI, and it was used as a forward model to constrain MEG source location to the cortex and compute the minimum norm estimate (75) inverse solution. MEG sources were visualized on the inflated surface of the MRI (76) as dynamic statistical parametric maps (77).

ROI Selection and Extraction. We selected our MEG ROIs with anatomical guidance from our fMRI context network activation foci in this study, which were similar to those found in earlier fMRI studies of contextual processing (8, 27, 78). These regions included PHC, RSC, and MPPC in the left hemisphere and were verified to be reliably detectable and separable using MEG (Fig. 53). In addition, to investigate the communication between these contextual association regions and early visual regions, we also extracted raw currents from the occipital cortex site comprising ventral, medial, and polar occipital cortex. Last, two additional ROIs that had exhibited phase locking in our previous MEG experiments investigating top-down facilitation processes in object recognition (43) in fusiform and orbitofrontal cortex were included as control sites. In addition to anatomical guidance provided by the fMRI activations of the context network in this study, we also used functional constraints, which were applied to an independent dataset as follows. We used our subjects’ second run of trials to display MEG activations, which were noise-normalized dynamic statistical parametric maps (dsPMs), on each individual inflated brain image using SCA vs. baseline comparison as our selection contrast. We drew the ROI boundaries that encompassed the peak context network activations in our fMRI experiment around MEG dsPM activations that exceeded the uncorrected dsPM threshold of P < 0.05 at any time between 100 and 450 ms. We then extracted raw, minimally filtered (0.1–200 Hz) MEG currents from these ROIs in the first run of trials. Thus, the data to be used in phase-locking analysis of the contrast of interest (SCA vs. WCC) were not functionally defined by this contrast and (ii) extracted from an independent subset of the data. Last, the interareal phase locking is, at least in principle, unrelated to the dsPM values, showing the independence of this ROI selection (79). The extracted raw currents from each ROI were submitted to phase-locking analysis as described below.

fROI Selection. Interglominal communication in the upper α (10–12 Hz) and particularly, lower β-bands (13–25 Hz) has been found to be involved in object recognition, showing increased phase synchrony when object recognition is successful (43, 51, 55, 63–67). The α-band along with the β-band have been thought to be involved in memory functions (50); however, the lower α-band (8–9 Hz) has been found to reflect activity associated with phase-resetting, idling, or state of readiness changes, and its current role in cognitive function is unclear (80). The β-band, particularly the lower β-band, has been implicated in playing an important role in the synchronization of brain activity during object recognition (reviewed in 40). Therefore, we focused on the upper α- and lower β-bands (10–25 Hz) in our phase-locking analyses. Because processes associated with object recognition and associative processing have been reported to occur in the poststimulus onset range of 100–450 ms (43, 55, 64, 81, 82), we constrained our statistical phase-locking analyses to the range of 100–450 ms, subdividing it into early (100–250 ms) and late (250–400 ms) periods.

Phase-Locking Analysis. Phase locking is a method that directly assesses the timing of oscillatory activity of the brain, irrespective of its amplitude (49, 83). The waveforms from the ROIs were filtered with a continuous Morlet wavelet transform of width 6. For the phase-locking analyses, the phase of each waveform was extracted and averaged in each trial for every frequency and time point of interest. The formula of the phase-locking value (PLV) was computed using the formula below (Eq. 1):

\[
PLV(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \text{exp}(i(\phi_n(t, n) - \langle \phi(t, n) \rangle))
\]

Here, \(t\) is the time point, \(n\) is the trial number, and \(\phi(t, n)\) is the phase of the MEG waveforms at a given time and trial in a pair of ROIs tested. The PLV measures the variability across trials of the relative phases of the two signals and can range from 0 (no phase locking) to 1 (complete phase locking). This method is functionally equivalent to the dynamic statistical parametric mapping, with the order of the wavelet filtering and the application of the inverse solution reversed relative to that method (83). We describe our statistical analyses of phase locking and power and report phase angles in SI Methods.
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