Theory of Fractional Lévy Kinetics for Cold Atoms Diffusing in Optical Lattices
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Recently, anomalous superdiffusion of ultracold $^{87}$Rb atoms in an optical lattice has been observed along with a fat-tailed, Lévy type, spatial distribution. The anomalous exponents were found to depend on the depth of the optical potential. We find, within the framework of the semiclassical theory of Sisyphus cooling, three distinct phases of the dynamics as the optical potential depth is lowered: normal diffusion; Lévy diffusion; and $x - t^{3/2}$ scaling, the latter related to Obukhov's model (1959) of turbulence. The process can be formulated as a Lévy walk, with strong correlations between the length and duration of the excursions. We derive a fractional diffusion equation describing the atomic cloud, and the corresponding anomalous diffusion coefficient.
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Recently, Sagi et al. [1] studied experimentally the diffusion of ultracold $^{87}$Rb atoms in a one-dimensional optical lattice undergoing Sisyphus cooling [2]. Starting with a narrow atomic cloud they recorded the time evolution of the density of the particles, here denoted $P(x, t)$ (normalized to unity). As predicted theoretically by Marksteiner et al. [3], the diffusion of the atoms was not Gaussian, so that the assumption that the diffusion process obeys the standard central limit theorem is not valid here. An open challenge is to determine the precise nature of the nonequilibrium spreading of the atoms, in particular the dynamical phase diagram upon variation in the depth of the optical potential. In Ref. [1], the data were compared to the set of solutions of the fractional diffusion equation [4–6],

$$\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t^\beta} P(x, t) = K_v \nabla^\delta P(x, t), \quad (1)$$

with $\beta = 1$, so that the time derivative is a first-order derivative [7]. The fractional space derivative is a Weyl-Rietz fractional derivative [6]. The anomalous diffusion coefficient $K_v$ has units of cm$^\alpha$/sec. A fundamental challenge is to derive fractional equations from a microscopic theory, without invoking power-law statistics in the first place. Furthermore, the solutions of such equations exhibit a diverging mean-square displacement $\langle x^2 \rangle = \infty$, which violates the principle of causality [8], that constrains physical phenomena to spread at finite speeds. So how can fractional equations like Eq. (1) describe physical reality? We will address this paradox in this work.

The solution of Eq. (1) for an initial narrow cloud is given in terms of a Lévy distribution (see details below). The Lévy distribution generalizes the Gaussian distribution for the sum of a large number of independent random variables to the case where the variance of summands diverges, corresponding physically to scale free systems. Lévy statistics and fractional kinetic equations have found several applications [6,9–16], including in the context of subrecoil laser cooling [17]. Here our aim is to derive Lévy statistics and the fractional diffusion equation from the semiclassical picture of Sisyphus cooling. Specifically we will show that $\beta = 1$ and relate the value of the exponent $\nu$ to the depth of the optical lattice $U_0$, deriving an expression for the constant $K_v$. We discuss the limitations of the fractional framework, and show that for a critical value of the depth of the optical lattice, the dynamics switch to a non-Lévy behavior [i.e., a regime where Eq. (1) is not valid]; instead it is related to Richardson-Obukhov diffusion found in turbulence. Thus the semiclassical picture predicts a rich phase diagram for the atomistic diffusion process. We will then compare the results of this analysis to the experimental findings, and see that there are still unresolved discrepancies between the experiment and the theory. Reconciling the two thus poses a major challenge for the future.

Model and goal.—In this article we investigate the spatial density of the atoms, $P(x, t)$. The trajectory of a single particle is $x(t) = \int_0^t p(t') dt'$ where $p(t)$ is its momentum. Within the standard semiclassical picture [2,3], two competing mechanisms describe the dynamics. The cooling force $F(p) = -\alpha p/[1 + (p/p_c)^2]$ acts to restore the momentum to the minimum energy state $p = 0$. Momentum diffusion is governed by a diffusion coefficient which is momentum dependent, $D(p) = D_1 + D_2/[1 + (p/p_c)^2]$. The latter describes momentum fluctuations which lead to heating (due to random emission events). We use dimensionless units, time $t \rightarrow t\bar{\alpha}$, momentum $p \rightarrow p/p_c$, the momentum diffusion constant $D = D_1/(p_c)\bar{\alpha}$, and $x \rightarrow x\bar{\alpha}/p_c$. For simplicity, we set $D_2 = 0$ since it does not modify the asymptotic $|p| \rightarrow \infty$ behavior of the diffusive heating term nor that of the force, and therefore does not modify our main conclusions. The Langevin equations

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = F(p) + \sqrt{2D\xi(t)}, \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = p, \quad (2)$$

describe the dynamics in phase space. Here the noise term is Gaussian, has zero mean, and is white;
$\langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = \delta(t-t')$. The now dimensionless cooling force is

$$F(p) = -\frac{p}{1 + p^2}. \quad (3)$$

The stochastic Eq. (2) is equivalent to the Fokker-Planck equation derived from microscopical considerations [2,3]. This derivation gives $D = eE_R/U_0$, where $U_0$ is the depth of the optical potential and $E_R$ is the recoil energy, and the dimensionless parameter $c$ [18] depends on the atomic transition involved [2,3,19]. For $p \ll 1$, the cooling force of Eq. (3) is harmonic, $F(p) \sim -p$, while for $p \gg 1$, $F(p) \sim -1/p$. The effective potential $V(p) = -\int_0^p F(p) dp = (1/2)\ln(1 + p^2)$ is asymptotically logarithmic, $V(p) \sim \ln(p)$ when $p$ is large. This large $p$ behavior of $V(p)$ is responsible for several unusual equilibrium and nonequilibrium properties of the momentum distribution [20–23] while the new experiment [1] demands a theory for the spatial spreading.

The heart of our analysis is the mapping of the Langevin dynamics to a recurrent set of random walks. The particle along its stochastic path in momentum space crosses $p = 0$ many times when the measurement time is long. Let $\tau > 0$ be the random time between one crossing event to the next crossing event, and let $-\infty < \chi < \infty$ be the random displacement (for the corresponding $\tau$). As schematically shown in Fig. 1, the process starting at the origin with zero momentum is defined by the sequence of jump durations, $\{\tau(1), \tau(2), \ldots\}$ with corresponding displacements $\{\chi(1), \chi(2), \ldots\}$, with $\chi(1) \equiv \int_0^{\tau(1)} p(\tau)d\tau$, $\chi(2) \equiv \int_{\tau(1)}^{\tau(1) + \tau(2)} p(\tau)d\tau$, etc. The total displacement $x$ at time $t$ is a sum of the individual displacements $\chi(i)$. Since the underlying Langevin process is continuous, we need a more precise definition of this process. We define $\tau$ as the time it takes the particle with initial momentum $p_i$ to reach $p_f = 0$ for the first time, where eventually we take $p_i \to p_f$. Similarly, $\chi$ is the displacement of the particle during this flight. The probability density function (PDF) of the displacement $\chi$ is denoted $q(\chi)$ and of the jump durations, $g(\tau)$.

As shown by Marksteiner et al. [3], these PDFs exhibit power-law behavior

$$g(\tau) \propto \tau^{-(3/2)-(1/2D)}, \quad q(\chi) \propto |\chi|^{-(4/3)-(1/3D)}, \quad (4)$$

as a consequence of the logarithmic potential, which makes the diffusion for large enough $p$ only weakly bounded. It is this power-law behavior, with its divergent second moment of the displacement $\chi$ for $D > 1/5$, that gives rise to the anomalous statistics for $x$. Importantly, and previously overlooked, there is a strong correlation between the jump duration $\tau$ and the spatial extent of the jumps $\chi$. These correlations have important consequences, including the finiteness of the moments of $P(x, t)$ and the $D > 1$ dynamical phase we obtain below. Physically, such a correlation is obvious, since long jump durations involve large momenta, which in turn induce a large spatial displacement. The joint probability density of $\chi$ and $\tau$, $\psi(\chi, \tau)$, will allow us to construct a Lévy walk scheme [24–26] which relates the microscopic information $\psi(\chi, \tau)$ to the atomic packet $P(x, t)$ for large $x$ and $t$.

**Scaling theory for anomalous diffusion.**—We rewrite the joint PDF $\psi(\chi, \tau) = g(\tau)p(\chi|\tau)$, where $p(\chi|\tau)$ is the conditional probability to find a jump length of $\chi$ for a given jump duration $\tau$. Numerically, as shown in Fig. 2, we observed that the conditional probability scales at large times like

$$p(\chi|\tau) \sim \tau^{-\gamma}B(\chi/\tau^\gamma) \quad (5)$$

with $\gamma = 3/2$, and $B(\cdot)$ is a scaling function. To analytically obtain the scaling exponent $\gamma = 3/2$ note that $q(\chi) = \int_0^\infty d\tau \psi(\chi, \tau)$, giving

FIG. 1 (color). Schematic presentation of momentum of the particle versus time. The times between consecutive zero crossings are called the jump durations $\tau$ and the shaded areas under each excursion are the random flight displacements $\chi$. The $\tau$’s and the $\chi$’s are correlated, since statistically a long jump duration implies a large displacement.

FIG. 2 (color). The scaled conditional probability $(2D\tau)^{3/2}p(\chi|\tau)$ versus $|\chi|/(2D\tau)^{3/2}$ for $\tau = 10^4$, $10^5$, and $10^6$, for the case $D = 0.4$, from simulations, showing the convergence to an asymptotic scaling form. Also shown is the $D \to \infty$ limit for $\tau = 10^4$, as well as the analytic result for $\tau \to \infty$, the Airy distribution [30,31].
\[
q(\chi) \sim \int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} d\tau \tau^{-(3/2) - (1/2D)} \tau^{-\gamma} B\left(\frac{\chi}{\tau^{\gamma}}\right) \propto |\chi|^{-(1+1/3D)/2\gamma},
\]

(6)

Here \(\tau_0\) is a time scale after which the long time limit in Eq. (4) holds and is irrelevant for large \(\chi\). Comparing Eq. (6) to the second equation of Eq. (4) yields the consistency condition \(1 + (1 + 1/D)/(2\gamma) = 4/3 + 1/(3D)\) and hence \(\gamma = 3/2\), as we observe in Fig. 2.

It is interesting to note that \(p(\chi|\tau)\) in the case of free diffusion (corresponding to the limit \(D \rightarrow \infty\)) has been previously considered by mathematicians [27–29] in the context of the probability density for the area under a Brownian excursion and shown to obey the scaling relation Eq. (5), with \(B\) given by the so-called Airy distribution [30,31]. In the case of finite \(D\), an analytic formula for \(p(\chi|\tau)\) can be constructed using the Feynman-Kac formalism [32], giving for asymptotically long walks both \(\gamma = 3/2\) and a closed form expression for the scaling function \(B(\cdot)\). For our current purposes, however, we do not need the exact form of \(B\); what is important is the scaling behavior, and the fact that \(B\) falls of rapidly for large arguments, ensuring finite moments of this function.

Given our scaling solution for \(p(\chi|\tau)\), and hence \(\psi(\chi, \tau)\), the next step is to construct a theory for the spreading of the particle packet using tools developed in the random walk community [24–26]. One first obtains [32] a Montroll-Weiss [6] type of equation for the Fourier-Laplace transform of \(P(x, t)\), \(\tilde{P}(k, u)\), in terms of \(\tilde{\psi}(k, u)\), the Fourier-Laplace transform of the joint PDF \(\psi(\chi, \tau)\):

\[
P(k, u) = \frac{\Psi(k, u)}{1 - \tilde{\psi}(k, u)},
\]

(7)

Here, \(\Psi(k, u)\) is the Fourier-Laplace transform of \(\tau^{-3/2}B(|\chi|/\tau^{3/2})[1 - \int_0^\infty g(\tau)d\tau]\). The last step is then to invert Eq. (7) back to the \((x, t)\) domain.

We now explain why Lévy statistics describe the diffusion profile \(P(x, t)\) when \(1/5 < D < 1\), provided that \(x\) is not too large. The key idea is that, for \(x\)’s which are large, but not extremely large, the problem decouples, and \(\tilde{\psi}(k, u)\) can be expressed as a product of the Fourier transform of \(q(\chi)\), \(\tilde{\varphi}(k)\), and the Laplace transform of \(g(\tau)\), \(\tilde{g}(u)\).

This is valid as long as \(x \ll \ell^{3/2}\), since otherwise paths where \(\chi \sim \ell^{3/2}\) are relevant, for which the correlations are strong, as we have seen. The long-time, large-\(x\) behavior of \(P(x, t)\) in the decoupled regime is then governed by the small-\(k\) behavior of \(\tilde{\varphi}(k)\) and the small-\(u\) behavior of \(\tilde{g}(u)\). When the second moment of \(q(\chi)\) diverges, i.e., for \(D > 1/5\), the small-\(k\) behavior of \(\tilde{\varphi}(k)\) is determined by the large-\(\chi\) asymptotics of \(q(\chi)\) as given in Eq. (5), \(q(\chi) \sim x^{\nu} \chi^{1+\nu}\), where we have introduced the parameter

\[
\nu \equiv \frac{1 + D}{3D}.
\]

(8)

When the first moment of \(\tau\) is finite, i.e., for \(D < 1\), the small-\(u\) behavior of \(\tilde{g}(u)\) is determined by the first moment,
there are essentially no walks with a displacement greater than the order of $t^{3/2}$. This cutoff ensures the finiteness of the mean square displacement; using the power-law tail of the Lévy PDF $L_\nu(x) \propto x^{-(1+\nu)}$ and the cutoff we get $\langle x^2 \rangle \approx \int_0^{\infty} t^{-(1/\nu)} (x/t^{1/\nu})^{-(1+\nu)} x^2 \, dx \sim t^{-3\nu/2}$, for $2/3 < \nu < 2$, in agreement with Ref. [33]. As noted in the introduction, if we naively rely on the fractional diffusion equation, Eq. (1), we get $\langle x^2 \rangle = \infty$. Thus the fractional equation must be used with care, realizing its limitations in the statistical description of the moments of the distribution and its tails. When $D < 1/5$, the diffusion is normal since the variance of $\chi$ is finite.

The Obukhov-Richardson phase, $D > 1$—When the average jump duration, $\langle \tau \rangle$, diverges, i.e., for $D > 1$, the dynamics of $P(x, t)$ enters a new phase. Since the Lévy index $\nu$ approaches $2/3$ as $D$ approaches $1$, $x$ scales like $t^{3/2}$ in the limit. Due to the correlations, $x$ cannot grow faster than this, so in this regime $P(x, t) \sim t^{-3/2} h(x/t^{1/2})$, which clearly describes a correlated phase. This scaling is that of free diffusion, namely the momentum diffuses like $p \sim t^{1/2}$ and hence the time integral over the momentum scales like $x \sim t^{3/2}$. Indeed, in the absence of the logarithmic potential, namely in the limit $D \gg 1$, Eq. (2) gives

$$P(x, t) \sim \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi D t^3}} \exp \left[-\frac{3x^2}{4Dt} \right]. \quad (12)$$

This limit describes the Obukhov model for a tracer particle in turbulence, where the velocity follows a simple Brownian motion [34,35]. These scaling properties are related to Kolmogorov’s theory of 1941 (see Eq. (3) in Ref. [35]) and to Richardson’s diffusion $\langle x^2 \rangle \sim t^3$ [33,36].

Equation (12) is valid when the optical potential depth is small since $D \to \infty$ when $U_0 \to 0$. This limit should be taken with care, as the observation time must be made large before considering the limit of the weak potential. In the opposite scenario, i.e., $U_0 \to 0$ before $t \to \infty$, we expect ballistic motion, $|x| \sim t$, since then the optical lattice has not had time to make itself felt [1].

Discussion of the experiment and summary.—Our work shows a rich phase diagram of the dynamics, with two transition points. For deep wells, $D < 1/5$, the diffusion is Gaussian, while for $1/5 < D < 1$ we have Lévy statistics, and for $D > 1$ Richardson-Obukhov scaling, $x \sim t^{3/2}$, is found. We have shown that the correlations between jump durations $\tau$ and displacements $\chi$ are crucial for the behavior of the tails of the distribution of the total displacement $x$ and are responsible for the finiteness of its second moment. When $D > 1$ the correlations become strong, leading to a breakdown of decoupled Lévy diffusion. So far, experiments have not detected these transitions, though Ref. [1] clearly demonstrated that the change in optical potential depth controls the anomalous exponents in the Lévy spreading packet. In particular, so far the experiment has shown at most ballistic behavior, with the spreading exponent $\delta$, defined by $x \sim t^\delta$, always less than unity. This might be related to our observation that to go beyond ballistic motion, $\delta > 1$, one must take the measurement time to be very long. A more serious problem is that, in the experimental fitting of the diffusion front to the Lévy propagator, an additional exponent was introduced [1] to describe the time dependence of the full width at half maximum. In contrast, our semiclassical theory shows that a single exponent $\nu$ is needed within the Lévy scaling regime $1/5 < D < 1$, with the spreading exponent $\delta = 1/\nu$. This might be related to the cutoff of the tails of the Lévy PDF which demands that the fitting be performed in the central part of the atomic cloud, or alternatively, to the escape of significant numbers of particles from the optical trap in the experiment. On the other hand we cannot rule out other physical effects not included in the semiclassical model. For example it would be very interesting to simulate the system with quantum Monte Carlo simulations, though we note that these are not trivial in the Lévy scaling model. For example it would be very interesting to simulate the system with quantum Monte Carlo simulations, though we note that these are not trivial in the Lévy scaling model.

Finally, many fascinating questions on statistical mechanics of cold atoms in optical lattices remain open. One example is the question of the spatial equilibrium density of particles when inserted in a confining field and the field’s effect on the power-law statistics. The role of initial preparation of the system is also important, since the underlying process is not stationary. Thus starting with a power-law-distributed initial momentum [22], instead of a narrow distribution, will influence the diffusion, at least for short times [37]. In experiments [1], the atoms are brought to an equilibrium state during a millisecond, while the diffusion takes up to 40 milliseconds. If we work in the opposite regime, where the relaxation time is longer than the diffusion time, the initial momentum distribution will play a crucial role. Also, time of flight experiments might be used to investigate first passage time properties of the atoms, and these might lead to better characterization of the extreme events. These types of questions shed light on the appropriate boundary conditions for the fractional diffusion Eq. (1), which was the subject of theoretical investigation given its relation to the nonlocal character of fractional derivatives and of long distance jumps [38–41].
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 Fractional Brownian motion is a popular model for anomalous diffusion, which also uses fractional calculus as a tool box. However, it describes a Gaussian process and hence does not apply to the physical system under investigation.

See the discussion in Ref. [9] where the unphysical nature of Lévy flights is discussed and the resolution in terms of Lévy walks is addressed.

References [2,19,20] give $c = 22$. As pointed out in Ref. [3] different notations are used in the literature.