Numerical Algorithms for the Forward and Backward Fractional Feynman–Kac Equations

Weihua Deng, Minghua Chen & Eli Barkai

Journal of Scientific Computing

ISSN 0885-7474

Volume 62

Number 3

J Sci Comput (2015) 62:718–746

DOI 10.1007/s10915-014-9873-6

Volume 62, Number 3

March 2015

62(3) 623–944 (2015)

ISSN 0885-7474

**Journal of
SCIENTIFIC
COMPUTING**

Springer

Springer

Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer Science +Business Media New York. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".

Numerical Algorithms for the Forward and Backward Fractional Feynman–Kac Equations

Weihua Deng · Minghua Chen · Eli Barkai

Received: 3 January 2014 / Revised: 14 April 2014 / Accepted: 26 May 2014 / Published online: 7 June 2014
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Abstract The Feynman–Kac equations are a type of partial differential equations describing the distribution of functionals of diffusive motion. The probability density function (PDF) of Brownian functionals satisfies the Feynman–Kac formula, being a Schrödinger equation in imaginary time. The functionals of non-Brownian motion, or anomalous diffusion, follow the fractional Feynman–Kac equation (Carmi et al. in J Stat Phys 141:1071–1092, 2010), where the fractional substantial derivative is involved. Based on recently developed discretized schemes for fractional substantial derivatives (Chen and Deng [arXiv:1310.3086](#)), this paper focuses on providing algorithms for numerically solving the forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equations; since the fractional substantial derivative is non-local time-space coupled operator, new challenges are introduced compared with the ordinary fractional derivative. Two ways (finite difference and finite element) of discretizing the space derivative are considered. For the backward fractional Feynman–Kac equation, the numerical stability and convergence of the algorithms with first order accuracy are theoretically discussed; and the optimal estimates are obtained. For all the provided schemes, including the first order and high order ones, of both forward and backward Feynman–Kac equations, extensive numerical experiments are performed to show their effectiveness.

Keywords Fractional Feynman–Kac equation · Fractional substantial derivative · Optimal convergent order · Numerical stability and convergence · Numerical inversion of Laplace transforms

---

W. Deng (✉) · M. Chen

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China
e-mail: dengwh@lzu.edu.cn

E. Barkai

Department of Physics, Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology Institute,
Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat Gan, Israel
e-mail: Eli.Barkai@biu.ac.il

1 Introduction

Letting $x(t)$ be a trajectory of a Brownian particle and $U(x)$ be a prescribed function, the Brownian functional can be defined as $A = \int_0^t U[x(\tau)]d\tau$ [21], which has many physical applications. In 1949, inspired by Feynman's path integrals, Kac derived a Schrödinger-like equation for the distribution of the functionals of diffusive motion [17]. With the rapid development on the study of non-Brownian motion, or anomalous diffusion [22,24], the functionals of anomalous diffusion naturally attracts the interests of physicists. In particular, Carmi, Turgeman, and Barkai derive the forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equations, for describing the distribution of the functionals of anomalous diffusion [5,6,29], which involves the fractional substantial derivative [14]. Being the same form of Brownian functional, the functional of anomalous diffusion can also be defined as

$$A = \int_0^t U[x(\tau)]d\tau, \quad (1.1)$$

where $x(t)$ is a trajectory of non-Brownian particle, there are many different choices to prescribe $U(x)$. For example, we can take $U(x) = 1$ in a given domain and to be zero otherwise, which characterizes the time spent by a particle in the domain; this functional can be used in kinetic studies of chemical reactions that take place exclusively in the domain [2,6]. For inhomogeneous disorder dispersive systems, the motion of the particles is non-Brownian, and $U(x)$ is taken as x or x^2 [6].

In recent decades, the numerical methods for fractional partial differential equations (PDEs) were well developed, including finite difference methods [8,10,11,19,20,23,27,30,31,33], finite element [12,13,16,32], spectral method [18], etc. However, it seems that there are no published works for numerically solving fractional PDEs with fractional substantial derivative. Fractional substantial derivative is a non-local time-space coupled operator; discretizing it and numerically solving the corresponding equations undoubtedly introduce some new difficulties compared with the fractional substantial derivative. We detailedly discussed the properties and effectively numerical discretizations of the fractional substantial derivatives in [7]. This paper focuses on numerically solving the forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equations with the fractional substantial derivative being discretized by the ways given in [7] and the classical spatial derivative is treated by finite difference and finite element method, respectively. For the backward Feynman–Kac equation, we theoretically prove the numerical stability and convergence of its first order scheme. For all the proposed schemes, including the first order and high order ones, of both forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equations, finally extensive numerical experiments are performed to show their effectiveness.

The definitions of fractional substantial calculus are given as follows [7].

Definition 1 Let $\nu > 0$, ρ be a constant, and $P(t)$ be piecewise continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and integrable on any finite subinterval of $[0, \infty)$. Then the fractional substantial integral of $P(t)$ of order ν is defined as

$${}_t^s I_t^\nu P(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} e^{-\rho U(x)(t-\tau)} P(\tau) d\tau, \quad t > 0,$$

where $U(x)$ is a prescribed function in (1.1).

Definition 2 Let $\mu > 0$, ρ be a constant, and $P(t)$ be $(m-1)$ -times continuously differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ and its m -times derivative be integrable on any finite subinterval of $[0, \infty)$, where m is the smallest integer that exceeds μ . Then the fractional substantial derivative of $P(t)$ of order μ is defined as

$${}^s D_t^\mu P(t) = {}^s D_t^m [{}^s I_t^{m-\mu} P(t)],$$

where

$${}^s D_t^m = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \rho U(x) \right)^m.$$

The forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equation derived in [5, 6, 29] are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(x, \rho, t) = \kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} {}^s D_t^{1-\alpha} P(x, \rho, t) - \rho U(x) P(x, \rho, t), \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(x, \rho, t) = \kappa_\alpha {}^s D_t^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, \rho, t) - \rho U(x) P(x, \rho, t), \quad (1.3)$$

where $P(x, \rho, t) := \int_0^\infty P(x, A, t) e^{-\rho A} dA$, $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0$, $u(x) \geq 0$; for (1.2), $P(x, A, t)$ denotes the joint probability density function (PDF) of finding the particle on (x, A) at time t ; while for (1.3), $P(x, A, t)$ is the joint PDF of finding the particle on A at time t with the initial position of the particle at x ; the functional A is defined as (1.1); the diffusion coefficient κ_α is a positive constant and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$; when $\rho = 0$, both (1.2) and (1.3) reduces to the celebrated fractional Fokker–Planck equation [3, 4, 24, 25]. In fact, from the definition of fractional substantial derivative, Eq. (1.3) can be rewritten as

$${}^s D_t^1 P(x, \rho, t) = {}^s D_t^{1-\alpha} \left[\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, \rho, t) \right]; \quad (1.4)$$

then we can further get its equivalent form (see the “Appendix”)

$$\begin{aligned} {}^s_c D_t^\alpha P(x, t) &= {}^s D_t^\alpha [P(x, t) - e^{-\rho U(x)t} P(x, 0)] \\ &= {}^s D_t^\alpha P(x, t) - \frac{t^{-\alpha} e^{-\rho U(x)t}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} P(x, 0) = \kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where ${}^s_c D_t^\alpha P(x, t)$ can be similarly called Caputo fractional substantial derivative [7]. In the following $P(x, \rho, t)$ is replaced by $P(x, t)$ since ρ is taken as a fixed constant. For (1.2), from the definition of fractional substantial derivative, we can also recast it as

$${}^s D_t^1 P(x, t) = \kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} {}^s D_t^{1-\alpha} P(x, t); \quad (1.6)$$

but it should be noted that the two operators $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ and $D_t^{1-\alpha}$ do not commute.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, for (1.2) and (1.3) we derive the numerical schemes with finite difference method to discretize the space derivative; and theoretically prove that the first order time discretization scheme is unconditionally stable and convergent for (1.3). In Sect. 3, for (1.3) the time semi-discretized and full discretized schemes of finite element method are provided; stability and convergence of the schemes are rigorously established; moreover, the optimal convergent rate is obtained. To confirm the theoretical results and show the effectiveness of the first order and high order schemes, extensive numerical results are provided in Sect. 4. We conclude the paper with some remarks in the last section.

2 Finite Difference for Fractional Feynman–Kac Equation

In this section we focus on deriving the difference schemes for the backward fractional Feynman–Kac equation (1.4) and theoretically prove that the provided first order time discretization scheme of (1.4) is unconditionally stable and convergent; the difference schemes for the forward fractional Feynman–Kac equation (1.2) are given as a remark.

Letting $T > 0$, $\Omega = (0, l)$, rewriting (1.5) and making it subject to the given initial and boundary conditions, we have

$${}_c^s D_t^\alpha P(x, t) = {}^s D_t^\alpha [P(x, t) - e^{-\rho U(x)t} P(x, 0)] = \kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, t), \quad 0 < t \leq T, x \in \Omega, \quad (2.1)$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, 0) &= \phi(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ P(0, t) &= \psi_1(t), \quad P(l, t) = \psi_2(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

2.1 Derivation of the Difference Scheme

Let the mesh points $x_i = ih$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, M$, and $t_n = n\tau$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, where $h = l/M$ and $\tau = T/N$ are the uniform space stepsize and time steplength, respectively. Denote P_i^n as the numerical approximation to $P(x_i, t_n)$. To approximate (2.1), we utilize the second order central difference formula for the spatial derivative; that is

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 P(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \right|_{(x_i, t_n)} = \frac{P(x_{i+1}, t_n) - 2P(x_i, t_n) + P(x_{i-1}, t_n)}{h^2} + \mathcal{O}(h^2).$$

From (3.8) of [7], we know that the fractional substantial derivative has q th order approximations, i.e.,

$${}_t^s D_t^\alpha P(x, t)|_{(x_i, t_n)} = \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_{i,k}^{q,\alpha} P(x_i, t_{n-k}) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^q), \quad q = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$d_{i,k}^{q,\alpha} = e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} l_k^{q,\alpha}, \quad U_i = U(x_i), \quad q = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$$

where $l_k^{1,\alpha}$, $l_k^{2,\alpha}$, $l_k^{3,\alpha}$, $l_k^{4,\alpha}$ and $l_k^{5,\alpha}$ are defined by (2.2), (2.4), (2.6), (2.8) and (2.10) in [9], respectively. In the following, we do the detailed theoretical analysis for the first order time discretization scheme of (2.1). For the simplification, we denote $d_{i,k}^{1,\alpha}$ by $d_{i,k}^\alpha$; then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^s D_t^\alpha P(x, t)|_{(x_i, t_n)} &= \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_{i,k}^\alpha P(x_i, t_{n-k}) + \mathcal{O}(\tau); \\ {}^s D_t^\alpha [e^{-\rho U(x)t} P(x, 0)]|_{(x_i, t_n)} &= \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_{i,k}^\alpha e^{-\rho U_i(n-k)\tau} P(x_i, 0) + \mathcal{O}(\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where the coefficients

$$d_{i,k}^\alpha = e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} g_k, \quad g_k = (-1)^k \binom{\alpha}{k}, \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$g_0 = 1, \quad g_k = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha + 1}{k}\right) g_{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then Eq. (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_{i,k}^{\alpha} P(x_i, t_{n-k}) - \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_{i,k}^{\alpha} e^{-\rho U_i(n-k)\tau} P(x_i, 0) \\ &= \kappa_{\alpha} \frac{P(x_{i+1}, t_n) - 2P(x_i, t_n) + P(x_{i-1}, t_n)}{h^2} + r_i^n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

with

$$|r_i^n| \leq C_P(\tau + h^2), \quad (2.7)$$

where C_P is a constant independent of τ , h .

Multiplying (2.6) by τ^{α} , we have the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{i,k}^{\alpha} P(x_i, t_{n-k}) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{i,k}^{\alpha} e^{-\rho U_i(n-k)\tau} P(x_i, 0) \\ &= \kappa_{\alpha} \tau^{\alpha} \frac{P(x_{i+1}, t_n) - 2P(x_i, t_n) + P(x_{i-1}, t_n)}{h^2} + R_i^n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

with

$$|R_i^n| = |\tau^{\alpha} r_i^n| \leq C_P \tau^{\alpha} (\tau + h^2). \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.5) and (2.8), the resulting discretization of (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$P_i^n - \frac{\kappa_{\alpha} \tau^{\alpha}}{h^2} (P_{i+1}^n - 2P_i^n + P_{i-1}^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{i,k}^{\alpha} e^{-\rho U_i(n-k)\tau} P_i^0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{i,k}^{\alpha} P_i^{n-k}, \quad n \geq 1; \quad (2.10)$$

or

$$P_i^n - \frac{\kappa_{\alpha} \tau^{\alpha}}{h^2} (P_{i+1}^n - 2P_i^n + P_{i-1}^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i n \tau} g_k P_i^0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} g_k P_i^{n-k}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2.11)$$

with $i = 1, 2, \dots, M-1$. It is worthwhile noting that the second term on the right hand side of (2.10) or (2.11), respectively, automatically vanishes when $n = 1$.

Remark 2.1 If we utilize the q th order approximation of (2.3) to discretize the time fractional substantial derivative of (2.1), the resulting discretization of (2.1) is

$$d_{i,0}^{q,\alpha} P_i^n - \frac{\kappa_{\alpha} \tau^{\alpha}}{h^2} (P_{i+1}^n - 2P_i^n + P_{i-1}^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{i,k}^{q,\alpha} e^{-\rho U_i(n-k)\tau} P_i^0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{i,k}^{q,\alpha} P_i^{n-k}, \quad (2.12)$$

which gives a local truncation error of $\mathcal{O}(\tau^q + h^2)$, $q = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Remark 2.2 Using the second order central difference formula for the spatial derivative leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} {}^s D_t^{1-\alpha} P(x_i, t_n) \\ &= \frac{{}^s D_t^{1-\alpha} P(x_{i+1}, t_n) - 2 {}^s D_t^{1-\alpha} P(x_i, t_n) + {}^s D_t^{1-\alpha} P(x_{i-1}, t_n)}{h^2} + \mathcal{O}(h^2). \end{aligned}$$

Further applying (2.3) to approximate the time fractional substantial derivatives, then we get the discretization schemes of (1.6):

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{i,0}^{q,1} P_i^n - \frac{\kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha}{h^2} \left(d_{i+1,0}^{q,1-\alpha} P_{i+1}^n - 2d_{i,0}^{q,1-\alpha} P_i^n + d_{i-1,0}^{q,1-\alpha} P_{i-1}^n \right) \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^n d_{i,k}^{q,1} P_i^{n-k} + \frac{\kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha}{h^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(d_{i+1,k}^{q,1-\alpha} P_{i+1}^{n-k} - 2d_{i,k}^{q,1-\alpha} P_i^{n-k} + d_{i-1,k}^{q,1-\alpha} P_{i-1}^{n-k} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

with the local truncation error $\mathcal{O}(\tau^q + h^2)$, $q = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

2.2 Stability and Convergence

In this subsection, we prove that the scheme (2.10) is unconditionally stable and convergent in discrete L^2 norm and L^∞ norm under the assumption that $0 \leq \rho U_i \leq \eta$. First, we introduce some relevant notations and properties of discretized inner product given in [15]. Denote $u^n = \{u_i^n | 0 \leq i \leq M, n \geq 0\}$ and $v^n = \{v_i^n | 0 \leq i \leq M, n \geq 0\}$, which are grid functions. And

$$\begin{aligned} (u_i^n)_x &= (u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n) / h, \quad (u_i^n)_{\bar{x}} = (u_i^n - u_{i-1}^n) / h; \\ (u^n, v^n) &= \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} u_i^n v_i^n h, \quad \|u^n\| = (u^n, u^n)^{1/2}; \\ (u^n, v^n] &= \sum_{i=1}^M u_i^n v_i^n h, \quad \|u^n\| = (u^n, u^n]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $u_0^n = 0$ and $u_M^n = 0$, there exists

$$(u^n, (v_{\bar{x}})_x) = -(u_{\bar{x}}^n, v_x^n] \quad \text{and} \quad \|u^n\|^2 \leq \frac{l^2}{8} \|u_{\bar{x}}^n\|^2, \quad (2.14)$$

where l means the one appeared in $\Omega = (0, l)$.

Lemma 2.1 *The coefficients g_k defined in (2.5) satisfy*

$$g_0 = 1; \quad g_k < 0, \quad (k \geq 1); \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k > 0; \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k = 0; \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha)} < \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k = - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} g_k \leq \frac{1}{n^\alpha}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof From [26, p.208], it is easy to get (2.15). Next we prove (2.16). Denoting $v_n = -n^\alpha \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} g_k = n^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k$, $n \geq 1$, according to [11], there exists

$$v_{n+1} < v_n, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \sum_{k=0}^n g_k < \frac{n^\alpha}{(n+1)^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha)} < \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k = - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} g_k, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Next we prove the following inequality by mathematical induction

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k = - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} g_k \leq \frac{1}{n^\alpha}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \quad (2.18)$$

It is obvious that (2.18) holds when $n = 1$ or $n = 2$. Supposing that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} g_i = - \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} g_i \leq \frac{1}{s^\alpha}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and using (2.17), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k < \frac{(n-1)^\alpha}{n^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} g_k \leq \frac{(n-1)^\alpha}{n^\alpha} \frac{1}{(n-1)^\alpha} = \frac{1}{n^\alpha}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Then the desired inequality (2.16) holds.

Theorem 2.1 When $0 \leq \rho U_i \leq \eta$, the difference scheme (2.11) is unconditionally stable.

Proof Let $\tilde{P}_i^n$ be the approximate solution of P_i^n , which is the exact solution of the scheme (2.11). Taking $e_i^n = \tilde{P}_i^n - P_i^n$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, M-1$, then from (2.11) we get the following perturbation equation

$$e_i^n - \tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha \frac{e_{i+1}^n - 2e_i^n + e_{i-1}^n}{h^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i n \tau} g_k e_i^0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} g_k e_i^{n-k}, \quad (2.19)$$

with $e_0^n = e_M^n = 0$. Multiplying (2.19) by $h e_i^n$ and summing up for i from 1 to $M-1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} (e_i^n)^2 - \tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{e_{i+1}^n - 2e_i^n + e_{i-1}^n}{h^2} e_i^n \\ &= h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i n \tau} g_k e_i^0 e_i^n - h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} g_k e_i^{n-k} e_i^n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Next we estimate (2.20). Firstly, we have

$$h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} (e_i^n)^2 = \|e^n\|^2, \quad (2.21)$$

and from (2.14), it leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & -\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{e_{i+1}^n - 2e_i^n + e_{i-1}^n}{h^2} e_i^n \\ &= -\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} ((e_i^n)_{\bar{x}})_x e_i^n = -\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha (e^n, (e_{\bar{x}}^n)_x) \\ &= \tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha (e_{\bar{x}}^n, e_{\bar{x}}^n) = \tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha \|e_{\bar{x}}^n\|^2 \geq \frac{8\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha}{l^2} \|e^n\|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Since $e^{-\rho U_i n \tau} \in [e^{-\eta T}, 1]$ and from (2.5) and (2.15), we obtain

$$g_k \leq d_{i,k}^\alpha = e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} g_k \leq e^{-\eta T} g_k < 0, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (2.23)$$

and

$$0 < e^{-\rho U_i n \tau} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i n \tau} g_k \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.24)$$

Therefore, according to (2.24) and (2.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i n \tau} g_k e_i^0 e_i^n &\leq h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i n \tau} g_k \frac{(e_i^0)^2 + (e_i^n)^2}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k (||e^0||^2 + ||e^n||^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} g_k e_i^{n-k} e_i^n &\leq -h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} g_k \frac{(e_i^{n-k})^2 + (e_i^n)^2}{2} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k (||e^{n-k}||^2 + ||e^n||^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

From (2.20–2.26), there exists

$$\begin{aligned} ||e^n||^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k (||e^0||^2 + ||e^n||^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k (||e^{n-k}||^2 + ||e^n||^2) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k\right) ||e^0||^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k ||e^{n-k}||^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

Next we prove that $||e^n||^2 \leq ||e^0||^2$ by mathematical induction. For $n = 1$, (2.27) holds obviously. Supposing

$$||e^s||^2 \leq ||e^0||^2, \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and using (2.27), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} ||e^n||^2 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k\right) ||e^0||^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k ||e^{n-k}||^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k\right) ||e^0||^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k ||e^0||^2 = ||e^0||^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.2 Let $R \geq 0$; $\varepsilon^k \geq 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$ and satisfy

$$\varepsilon^n \leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \varepsilon^{n-k} + R, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.28)$$

Then we have the following estimates:

(a) when $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\varepsilon^n \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \right)^{-1} R \leq n^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha) R; \quad (2.29)$$

(b) when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$,

$$\varepsilon^n \leq nR. \quad (2.30)$$

Proof It is worth to noting that the first term on the right hand side of (2.28) automatically vanishes when $n = 1$.

(1) Case $0 < \alpha < 1$: We prove the following estimate by the mathematical induction,

$$\varepsilon^n \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \right)^{-1} R.$$

Equation (2.28) holds obviously for $n = 1$. Supposing that

$$\varepsilon^s \leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} g_i \right)^{-1} R, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

then from (2.28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^n &\leq -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \varepsilon^{n-k} + R \leq -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} g_i \right)^{-1} R + R \leq -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_i \right)^{-1} R + R \\ &\leq \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_i \right)^{-1} R + R \leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_i \right)^{-1} R. \end{aligned}$$

According to (2.16) and the above inequality, it leads to

$$\varepsilon^n \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \right)^{-1} R \leq n^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha) R.$$

(2) Now we consider the case $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. Since $\Gamma(1 - \alpha) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ in the estimate (2.29). Therefore, we need to look for an estimate of other form. We prove the following estimate by the mathematical induction:

$$\varepsilon^n \leq nR.$$

Equation (2.28) holds obviously for $n = 1$. Supposing that

$$\varepsilon^s \leq sR, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

thus, from (2.28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^n &\leq -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \varepsilon^{n-k} + R \leq -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k (n-k)R + R \\ &\leq -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k (n-1)R + R \leq (n-1)R + R = nR. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2 Let P_i^n be the approximate solution of $P(x_i, t_n)$ computed by the difference scheme (2.11) with the assumption $0 \leq \rho U_i \leq \eta$. Then

$$\|P(x_i, t_n) - P_i^n\| \leq C_P \Gamma(1 - \alpha) l^{1/2} T^\alpha (\tau + h^2), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

where C_P is defined by (2.7) and $(x_i, t_n) \in (0, l) \times (0, T]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, M - 1$; $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Proof Similar to the proof of [11], let $P(x_i, t_n)$ be the exact solution of (2.1) at the mesh point (x_i, t_n) , and $\varepsilon_i^n = P(x_i, t_n) - P_i^n$. Subtracting (2.8) from (2.11) and using $\varepsilon_i^0 = 0$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon_i^n - \frac{\kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha}{h^2} (\varepsilon_{i+1}^n - 2\varepsilon_i^n + \varepsilon_{i-1}^n) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} g_k \varepsilon_i^{n-k} + R_i^n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2.31)$$

where R_i^n is defined by (2.9).

Multiplying (2.31) by $h\varepsilon_i^n$ and summing up for i from 1 to $M - 1$, there exists

$$\begin{aligned} & h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} (\varepsilon_i^n)^2 - \tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{i+1}^n - 2\varepsilon_i^n + \varepsilon_{i-1}^n}{h^2} \varepsilon_i^n \\ &= -h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} g_k \varepsilon_i^{n-k} \varepsilon_i^n + h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} R_i^n \varepsilon_i^n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} (\varepsilon_i^n)^2 = \|\varepsilon^n\|^2; \\ & -\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{i+1}^n - 2\varepsilon_i^n + \varepsilon_{i-1}^n}{h^2} \varepsilon_i^n \geq 0; \\ & -h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho U_i k \tau} g_k \varepsilon_i^{n-k} \varepsilon_i^n \leq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \left(\|\varepsilon^{n-k}\|^2 + \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

According to (2.9), (2.7) and (2.16), we obtain [11]

$$\begin{aligned} & h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} |R_i^n \varepsilon_i^n| = \tau^\alpha h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} |r_i^n \varepsilon_i^n| \leq \tau^\alpha h \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \left[\frac{\tau^\alpha}{2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k} (r_i^n)^2 + \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k}{2\tau^\alpha} (\varepsilon_i^n)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{\tau^{2\alpha} h}{2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k} \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} (r_i^n)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\tau^{2\alpha} n^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha)}{2} h(M - 1) C_P^2 (\tau + h^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{T^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha) l C_P^2}{2} \tau^\alpha (\tau + h^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 \\ &= \frac{C_2}{2} \tau^\alpha (\tau + h^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \|\varepsilon^n\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

where $(x_i, t_n) \in (0, l) \times (0, T]$, and

$$C_2 = lC_P^2 \Gamma(1 - \alpha) T^\alpha. \quad (2.35)$$

According to (2.33) and (2.34), there exists

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \left(\|\varepsilon^{n-k}\|^2 + \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 \right) + \frac{C_2}{2} \tau^\alpha (\tau + h^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \|\varepsilon^{n-k}\|^2 + \frac{C_2}{2} \tau^\alpha (\tau + h^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\varepsilon^n\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

that is

$$\|\varepsilon^n\|^2 \leq -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \|\varepsilon^{n-k}\|^2 + C_2 \tau^\alpha (\tau + h^2)^2. \quad (2.37)$$

According to (2.35)–(2.37) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\|\varepsilon^n\|^2 \leq n^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha) C_2 \tau^\alpha (\tau + h^2)^2 = lC_P^2 \Gamma(1 - \alpha) T^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha) T^\alpha (\tau + h^2)^2.$$

Hence

$$\|P(x_i, t_n) - P_i^n\| = \|\varepsilon_i^n\| \leq C_P \Gamma(1 - \alpha) l^{1/2} T^\alpha (\tau + h^2).$$

Besides the discrete L^2 norm, the unconditional stability and convergence can also be obtained in L^∞ norm. In the following theorem, we present the convergent result in L^∞ norm; because of the similar proof, we omit the proof of unconditional stability in L^∞ norm.

Theorem 2.3 Let P_i^n be the approximate solution of $P(x_i, t_n)$ computed by use of the difference scheme (2.11) with the assumption $0 \leq \rho U_i \leq \eta$. Then the error estimates are

$$\|P(x_i, t_n) - P_i^n\|_\infty \leq C_P \Gamma(1 - \alpha) T^\alpha (\tau + h^2), \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1;$$

and

$$\|P(x_i, t_n) - P_i^n\|_\infty \leq C_P T \tau^{\alpha-1} (\tau + h^2), \quad \text{for } \alpha \rightarrow 1,$$

where C_P is defined by (2.7) and $(x_i, t_n) \in (0, l) \times (0, T]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, M - 1$; $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Proof Let $P(x_i, t_n)$ be the exact solution of (2.1) at the mesh point (x_i, t_n) , and denote $\varepsilon_i^n = P(x_i, t_n) - P_i^n$, $\varepsilon^n = [\varepsilon_0^n, \varepsilon_1^n, \dots, \varepsilon_M^n]$. Subtracting (2.8) from (2.10) and using $\varepsilon_i^0 = 0$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon_i^n - \frac{\kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha}{h^2} (\varepsilon_{i+1}^n - 2\varepsilon_i^n + \varepsilon_{i-1}^n) = -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{i,k}^\alpha \varepsilon_i^{n-k} + R_i^n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where R_i^n is defined by (2.9). Assume that

$$|\varepsilon_{i_0}^n| = \|\varepsilon^n\|_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq M} |\varepsilon_i^n|,$$

and $R_{\max} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq M, 0 \leq n \leq N} |R_i^n|$. Then we have the following estimates

$$\|\varepsilon^n\|_\infty = |\varepsilon_{i_0}^n| \leq |\varepsilon_{i_0}^n| - \frac{\kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha}{h^2} (|\varepsilon_{i_0+1}^n| - 2|\varepsilon_{i_0}^n| + |\varepsilon_{i_0-1}^n|)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left(1 + 2 \frac{\kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha}{h^2}\right) |\varepsilon_{i_0}^n| - \frac{\kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha}{h^2} (|\varepsilon_{i_0+1}^n| + |\varepsilon_{i_0-1}^n|) \\
 &\leq \left| \varepsilon_{i_0}^n - \frac{\kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha}{h^2} (\varepsilon_{i_0+1}^n - 2\varepsilon_{i_0}^n + \varepsilon_{i_0-1}^n) \right| \\
 &= \left| - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{i_0,k}^\alpha \varepsilon_{i_0}^{n-k} + R_{i_0}^n \right| \\
 &\leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{i_0,k}^\alpha \|\varepsilon^{n-k}\|_\infty + R_{\max}. \tag{2.38}
 \end{aligned}$$

Form (2.23) and (2.38), there exists

$$\|\varepsilon^n\|_\infty \leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \|\varepsilon^{n-k}\|_\infty + R_{\max}.$$

Hence, using Lemma 2.2, it leads to

$$\|P(x_i, t_n) - P_i^n\|_\infty \leq C_P \Gamma(1 - \alpha) T^\alpha (\tau + h^2), \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1;$$

and

$$\|P(x_i, t_n) - P_i^n\|_\infty \leq C_P T \tau^{\alpha-1} (\tau + h^2), \quad \text{for } \alpha \rightarrow 1.$$

Remark 2.3 When ρ is an imaginary number, i.e., $\rho = \nu + i\omega$; similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3 but with the assumption $0 \leq \nu U_i \leq \eta$, the same results on numerical stability and convergence can be obtained.

3 Finite Element Method for Fractional Feynman–Kac Equation

The proposed method is based on a finite difference scheme on time and Galerkin finite element in space for (1.3). This section is devoted to the stability analysis of the time-stepping scheme and the detailed error analysis of semidiscretization on time and of full discretization. In particular, the optimal convergent order is obtained.

3.1 Variational Formulation and Finite Element Approximation for Fractional Feynman–Kac Equation

Let $T > 0$, $\Omega = (0, l)$, and $t_n = n\tau$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, where $\tau = \frac{T}{N}$ is the time steplength. Rewriting (1.5), and making it subject to the given initial and boundary conditions, we have

$${}^s D_t^\alpha P(x, t) = {}^s D_t^\alpha [P(x, t) - e^{-\rho U(x)t} P(x, 0)] = \kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, t), \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{3.1}$$

with the initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(x, 0) &= \phi(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
 P(0, t) &= P(l, t) = 0, \quad 0 < t \leq T.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Using the first order approximation of (2.3) to discretize the time fractional derivative of (3.1), denoting $d_{i,k}^{1,\alpha}$ as d_k^α , and taking $U(x) = \sigma$ being a constant, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}^sD_t^\alpha [P(x, t)]_{t=t_n} &= \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^\alpha P(x, t_{n-k}) + \tilde{r}_n^{(1)}(x); \\ {}^sD_t^\alpha [e^{-\rho U(x)t} P(x, 0)]_{t=t_n} &= {}^sD_t^\alpha [e^{-\rho \sigma t} P(x, 0)]_{t=t_n} \\ &= \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^\alpha e^{-\rho \sigma (n-k)\tau} P(x, 0) + r_n^{(1)}(x) \\ &= \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n e^{-\rho \sigma n\tau} g_k P(x, 0) + r_n^{(1)}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

with

$$|r_n^{(1)}(x)| \leq \tilde{C}_P \tau \quad \text{and} \quad |\tilde{r}_n^{(1)}(x)| \leq \tilde{C}_P \tau. \quad (3.4)$$

Here $\tilde{C}_P$ is a constant depending only on P , and the coefficients

$$d_k^\alpha = e^{-\rho \sigma k\tau} g_k, \quad g_k = (-1)^k \binom{\alpha}{k}. \quad (3.5)$$

Denoting $P^n(x)$ as an approximation of $P(x, t_n)$, then we get the following time discrete scheme of (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned} P^n(x) - \kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha \Delta P^n(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha e^{-\rho \sigma (n-k)\tau} P^0(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha P^{n-k}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho \sigma n\tau} g_k P^0(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho \sigma k\tau} g_k P^{n-k}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

For the simplification, we use P^n to denote $P^n(x)$. Then the variational formulation of (3.6) subject to the boundary condition reads as follows: find $P^n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (P^n, q) - \kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha (\Delta P^n, q) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho \sigma n\tau} g_k (P^0, q) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho \sigma k\tau} g_k (P^{n-k}, q), \quad \forall q \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

with the initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} P^0(x) &= \phi(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ P^n(0) &= P^n(l) = 0, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

3.2 Stability Analysis and Error Estimates for the Semidiscrete Scheme

Theorem 3.1 *The weak semidiscrete scheme (3.7) with $\rho\sigma$ being positive real number is unconditionally stable in the sense that for all $\tau > 0$, it holds that*

$$\|P^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \|P^0\|_{L^2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where $\|P^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} = \left(\|P^n\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha \|\nabla P^n\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2}$.

Proof Taking $q = P^n$ and from (3.7), we obtain

$$(P^n, P^n) + \kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha (\nabla P^n, \nabla P^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho\sigma n\tau} g_k(P^0, P^n) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho\sigma k\tau} g_k(P^{n-k}, P^n).$$

Since $e^{-\rho\sigma n\tau} \in [e^{-\rho\sigma T}, 1]$, then from (3.5) and (2.15) we obtain

$$g_k \leq d_k^\alpha = e^{-\rho\sigma k\tau} g_k < 0, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$0 < e^{-\rho\sigma n\tau} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho\sigma n\tau} g_k \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.10)$$

Then using Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho\sigma n\tau} g_k(P^0, P^n) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho\sigma k\tau} g_k(P^{n-k}, P^n) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho\sigma n\tau} g_k \|P^0\|_{L^2} \|P^n\|_{L^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho\sigma k\tau} g_k \|P^{n-k}\|_{L^2} \|P^n\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

According to (3.9)–(3.11), there exists

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \|P^0\|_{L^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho\sigma k\tau} g_k \|P^{n-k}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \|P^0\|_{L^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \|P^{n-k}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Next we prove $\|P^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \|P^0\|_{L^2}$. The inequality (3.12) holds obviously when $n = 1$. Supposing

$$\|P^s\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \|P^0\|_{L^2}, \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

then from (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \|P^0\|_{L^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \|P^{n-k}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \|P^0\|_{L^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \|P^0\|_{L^2} = \|P^0\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.2 Let P be the exact solution of (3.1)–(3.2), and $\{P^n\}_{n=0}^N$ be the time discrete solution of (3.7) with the initial and boundary conditions (3.8) under the assumption $\rho\sigma > 0$. Then we have the following error estimates:

$$\|P(t_n) - P^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}_P \Gamma(1 - \alpha) T^\alpha \tau, \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1;$$

and

$$\|P(t_n) - P^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}_P T \tau^\alpha, \quad \alpha \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\tilde{C}_P$ is defined by (3.4) and $(x, t_n) \in (0, l) \times (0, T]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Proof Define $e^n = P(x, t_n) - P^n(x)$. Using $e^0 = 0$ and (3.1), (3.7) and (3.4), there exists

$$(e^n, q) + \kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha (\nabla e^n, \nabla q) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho \sigma k \tau} g_k(e^{n-k}, q) + (R^n, q), \quad \forall q \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\|R^n\|_{L^2} \leq \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha}. \quad (3.14)$$

Taking $q = e^n$ in (3.13) and from (3.10), we obtain

$$\|e^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho \sigma k \tau} g_k \|e^{n-k}\|_{L^2} \|e^n\|_{L^2} + \|R^n\|_{L^2} \|e^n\|_{L^2}.$$

Then from (3.9) and (3.14), it leads to

$$\|e^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \|e^{n-k}\|_{L^2} + \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha}. \quad (3.15)$$

(I) First consider the case $0 < \alpha < 1$. We start by proving the following estimate:

$$\|e^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \right)^{-1} \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.16)$$

The inequality (3.15) holds obviously when $n = 1$. Supposing that

$$\|e^s\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} d_j^\alpha \right)^{-1} \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

then from (3.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} &\leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \|e^{n-k}\|_{L^2} + \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha} \\ &\leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1} d_j^\alpha \right)^{-1} \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha} + \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha} \\ &\leq \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_j^\alpha \right)^{-1} \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha} + \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_j^\alpha \right)^{-1} \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

According to (3.9) and (2.16), there exists

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \geq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k = - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} g_k > \frac{1}{n^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha)} > 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then using (3.16) and the above inequality, we get

$$\|e^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \right)^{-1} \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha} \leq \tilde{C}_P n^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha) \tau^{1+\alpha} = \tilde{C}_P \Gamma(1-\alpha) T^\alpha \tau. \quad (3.17)$$

(II) Now we consider the case $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. Since $\Gamma(1-\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ in the estimate (3.17). Therefore, we need to look for an estimate of other form. We prove the following estimate by the mathematical induction:

$$\|e^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}_P n \tau^{1+\alpha}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.18)$$

It is obvious that (3.15) holds when $n = 1$. Denoting that

$$\|e^s\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}_P s \tau^{1+\alpha}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and from (3.15), it leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} &\leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \|e^{n-k}\|_{L^2} + \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha} \leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \tilde{C}_P (n-k) \tau^{1+\alpha} + \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha} \\ &\leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \tilde{C}_P (n-1) \tau^{1+\alpha} + \tilde{C}_P \tau^{1+\alpha} \leq C_P n \tau^{1+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|e^n\|_{\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}_P T \tau^\alpha, \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 1.$$

3.3 Finite Element Approximation and Error Estimates for Full Discretization

Denote S_h as the piecewise polynomials of degree at most $r-1$ on mesh $\{x_i\}$, and define elliptic or Ritz projection R_h from $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into S_h by the orthogonal relation:

$$(\nabla R_h v, \nabla \chi) = (\nabla v, \nabla \chi), \quad \forall \chi \in S_h, \quad \text{for } v \in H_0^1.$$

Then we have the well-known approximation property [28]:

$$\|R_h v - v\|_{L^2} + h \|\nabla(R_h v - v)\|_{L^2} \leq C h^s \|v\|_s, \quad \text{for } v \in H^s \cap H_0^1, \quad 1 \leq s \leq r. \quad (3.19)$$

Letting

$$\tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^\alpha P(x, t_{n-k}) = \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^\alpha R_h P(x, t_{n-k}) + r_n^{(2)}(x), \quad (3.20)$$

then combining (3.3) and (3.20), we obtain

$${}^s D_t^\alpha P(x, t_n) = \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^\alpha R_h P(x, t_{n-k}) + r_n(x), \quad (3.21)$$

with

$$r_n(x) = r_n^{(1)}(x) + r_n^{(2)}(x). \quad (3.22)$$

Now we give the finite element approximation of (3.7): find $P_h^n \in S_h$ such that

$$(P_h^n, q_h) - \kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha (\Delta P_h^n, q_h)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\rho\sigma n\tau} g_k(P_h^0, q_h) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\rho\sigma k\tau} g_k(P_h^{n-k}, q_h), \quad \forall q_h \in S_h(\Omega). \quad (3.23)$$

Lemma 3.1 *The coefficients d_k^α defined in (3.5) with $\rho\sigma > 0$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{n^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha)} < \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \leq \frac{1 + (\rho\sigma T)^\alpha}{n^\alpha}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Proof From [7], we know that

$$\left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{e^{\rho\sigma\tau}}\right)^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k^\alpha \zeta^k.$$

Taking $\zeta = 1$, then there exists

$$(1 - e^{-\rho\sigma\tau})^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k^\alpha,$$

which leads to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha = (1 - e^{-\rho\sigma\tau})^\alpha - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} d_k^\alpha. \quad (3.24)$$

Using (3.9) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha)} < \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and

$$-\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} d_k^\alpha \leq -\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} g_k \leq \frac{1}{n^\alpha}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.25)$$

Next we prove

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \leq \frac{1 + (\rho\sigma T)^\alpha}{n^\alpha}.$$

According to (3.24) and (3.25), there exists

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha = (1 - e^{-\rho\sigma\tau})^\alpha - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} d_k^\alpha \leq (1 - e^{-\rho\sigma\tau})^\alpha + \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \leq \frac{(\rho\sigma T)^\alpha + 1}{n^\alpha},$$

since

$$(1 - e^{-\rho\sigma\tau})^\alpha \leq (\rho\sigma\tau)^\alpha \leq \left(\rho\sigma \frac{T}{n}\right)^\alpha = \frac{(\rho\sigma T)^\alpha}{n^\alpha}.$$

Lemma 3.2 *The truncation error $r_n(x)$ defined by (3.22) is bounded by*

$$\|r_n(x)\|_{L^2} \leq \bar{C}_P \left(\tau + \tau^{-\alpha} \frac{(\rho\sigma T)^\alpha + 1}{n^\alpha} h^r \right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where $\bar{C}_P$ is a constant depending only on P .

Proof Here, $r_n^{(1)}(x)$ is given in (3.4),

$$\|r_n^{(1)}(x)\|_{L^2} \leq \tilde{C}_P \tau.$$

From (3.19), there exists

$$\|R_h P(x, t_{n-k}) - P(x, t_{n-k})\|_{L^2} \leq \hat{C}_P h^r, \quad (3.26)$$

where $\hat{C}_P$ is a constant depending only on P .

Then, using (3.20), (3.26), and Lemma 3.1 leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|r_n^{(2)}(x)\|_{L^2} &\leq \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^\alpha \|R_h P(x, t_{n-k}) - P(x, t_{n-k})\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \tau^{-\alpha} \hat{C}_P h^r \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^\alpha \leq \tau^{-\alpha} \hat{C}_P h^r \frac{(\rho\sigma T)^\alpha + 1}{n^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (3.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|r_n(x)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|r_n^{(1)}(x)\|_{L^2} + \|r_n^{(2)}(x)\|_{L^2} \leq \tilde{C}_P \tau + \hat{C}_P \tau^{-\alpha} \frac{(\rho\sigma T)^\alpha + 1}{n^\alpha} h^r \\ &\leq \bar{C}_P \left(\tau + \tau^{-\alpha} \frac{(\rho\sigma T)^\alpha + 1}{n^\alpha} h^r \right), \end{aligned}$$

with $\bar{C}_P = \max(\tilde{C}_P, \hat{C}_P)$.

Theorem 3.3 Let P be the exact solution of (3.1)–(3.2), and $\{P_h^n\}_{n=0}^N$ be the solution of the full discretization scheme (3.23) with the initial condition $P_h^0 = R_h \phi$ under the assumption $\rho\sigma > 0$. If $P \in H^r(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then

$$\|P(\cdot, t_n) - P_h^n\|_{L^2} \leq C(P, \rho\sigma T, \alpha)(\tau + h^r), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1;$$

and

$$\|P(\cdot, t_n) - P_h^n\|_{L^2} \leq C(P, \rho\sigma T, \alpha)(\tau^\alpha + \tau^{\alpha-1} h^r + h^r), \quad \alpha \rightarrow 1,$$

where $C(P, \rho\sigma T, \alpha)$ is a constant depending only on $P, \rho\sigma T, \alpha$ and $(\cdot, t_n) \in (0, l) \times (0, T]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Proof Denoting $\varepsilon^n = P_h^n - R_h P(x, t_n)$, then from (3.23) and Lemma 3.2, we get the following error equation

$$(\varepsilon^n, q_h) + \kappa_\alpha \tau^\alpha (\nabla \varepsilon^n, \nabla q_h) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha (\varepsilon^{n-k}, q_h) + (R_n, q_h), \quad \forall q_h \in S_h(\Omega), \quad (3.27)$$

where $\|R_n\|_{L^2} = \tau^\alpha \|r_n\|_{L^2} \leq \bar{C}_P \left(\tau^{1+\alpha} + \frac{(\rho\sigma T)^\alpha + 1}{n^\alpha} h^r \right)$. Taking $q_h = \varepsilon^n$ in (3.27), it leads to

$$\|\varepsilon^n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_k^\alpha \|\varepsilon^{n-k}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k \|\varepsilon^{n-k}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_n\|_{L^2}^2.$$

According to Lemma 2.2, there exists

(a) when $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_h P(x, t_n) - P_h^n\|_{L^2} &= \|\varepsilon^n\|_{L^2} \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k \right)^{-1} \|R_n\|_{L^2} \leq n^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \|R_n\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \overline{C}_P \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \left[T^\alpha \tau + ((\rho \sigma T)^\alpha + 1) h^r \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

(b) when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_h P(x, t_n) - P_h^n\|_{L^2} &= \|\varepsilon^n\|_{L^2} \leq n \|R_n\|_{L^2} \leq \overline{C}_P \left(T \tau^\alpha + \frac{(\rho \sigma T)^\alpha + 1}{n^{\alpha-1}} h^r \right) \\ &\leq \overline{C}_P \left[T \tau^\alpha + T^{1-\alpha} ((\rho \sigma T)^\alpha + 1) \tau^{\alpha-1} h^r \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Then, using (3.26) and triangle inequality, it leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(x, t_n) - P_h^n\|_{L^2} &\leq \|P(x, t_n) - R_h P(x, t_n)\|_{L^2} + \|R_h P(x, t_n) - P_h^n\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \widehat{C}_P h^r + \|R_h P(x, t_n) - P_h^n\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, according to (3.28), (3.29) and above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(x, t_n) - P_h^n\|_{L^2} &\leq \widehat{C}_P h^r + \overline{C}_P \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \left[T^\alpha \tau + ((\rho \sigma T)^\alpha + 1) h^r \right] \\ &\leq C(P, \rho \sigma T, \alpha) (\tau + h^r), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(x, t_n) - P_h^n\|_{L^2} &\leq \widehat{C}_P h^r + \overline{C}_P \left[T \tau^\alpha + T^{1-\alpha} ((\rho \sigma T)^\alpha + 1) \tau^{\alpha-1} h^r \right] \\ &\leq C(P, \rho \sigma T, \alpha) (\tau^\alpha + \tau^{\alpha-1} h^r + h^r), \quad \alpha \rightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1 In particular, when $\rho = 0$, the fractional Feynman–Kac equation (3.1) reduces to the celebrated fractional Fokker–Planck equation [3, 4, 24, 25]. Similarly, the optimal convergent order is also obtained for using finite element method to solve fractional Fokker–Planck equation.

4 Numerical Results

We numerically verify the above theoretical results including convergent orders and numerical stability. And the l_∞ norm is used to measure the numerical errors. Without loss of generality, we add a force term $f(x, t)$ on the right hand side of (2.1), (1.2) and (3.1), respectively. For the numerical schemes, including the first and high order ones, of both forward and backward Feynman–Kac equations, the numerical experiments are also performed to illustrate the validity of the algorithms. In the following we reuse $P(x, \rho, t)$, i.e., $P(x, t)$ is replaced by $P(x, \rho, t)$. In fact, by using the algorithm of numerical inversion of Laplace transforms [1], we numerically get $P(x, A, t)$; and the marginal PDFs of A , $J(A) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P(x, A, t) dx$, and of x , $K(x) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P(x, A, t) dA$ are also calculated; in particular, the values of $K(x)$ are compared with the ones of $K K(x)$ being the solution of the corresponding fractional Fokker–Planck equation, i.e., the fractional Feynman–Kac equations with $U(x) = 0$, to further illustrate the effectiveness of the provided schemes.

Table 1 The maximum errors and convergent orders for (2.13) with $q = 4$, when $U(x) = x$, $\rho = 1 + i$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\kappa_\alpha = 0.5$, $h = \tau^2$

τ	$\alpha = 0.1$	Rate	$\alpha = 0.5$	Rate	$\alpha = 0.9$	Rate
1/10	6.4452e-005		9.3365e-005		6.7634e-005	
1/20	4.2081e-006	3.9370	5.6941e-006	4.0353	4.1294e-006	4.0337
1/40	2.8663e-007	3.8759	3.5337e-007	4.0102	2.5636e-007	4.0097
1/80	1.5245e-008	4.2328	2.3108e-008	3.9348	1.5804e-008	4.0198

4.1 Numerical Results for $P(x, \rho, t)$

Example 1 [Finite Difference; The forward fractional Feynman–Kac equation (1.2)] Consider the forward fractional Feynman–Kac equation (1.2), on a finite domain $0 < x < 1$, $0 < t \leq 1$, with the coefficient $\kappa_\alpha = 0.5$ and $U(x) = x$, $\rho = 1 + i$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, the forcing function

$$f(x, t) = (3 + \alpha)e^{-\rho xt} t^{2+\alpha} \sin(\pi x) - \kappa_\alpha \frac{\Gamma(4 + \alpha)}{\Gamma(3 + 2\alpha)} t^{2+2\alpha} e^{-\rho xt} (\rho^2 t^2 \sin(\pi x) - 2\pi \rho t \cos(\pi x) - \pi^2 \sin(\pi x)),$$

the initial condition $P(x, \rho, 0) = 0$, and the boundary conditions $P(0, \rho, t) = P(1, \rho, t) = 0$. Then (1.2) has the exact solution

$$P(x, \rho, t) = e^{-\rho xt} t^{3+\alpha} \sin(\pi x).$$

Table 1 shows the maximum errors at time $T = 1$ with $h = \tau^2$; and the numerical results confirm that the scheme (2.13) has the global truncation error $\mathcal{O}(\tau^4 + h^2)$.

Example 2 [Finite Difference; The backward fractional Feynman–Kac equation (2.1)] Consider the backward fractional Feynman–Kac equation (2.1), on a finite domain $0 < x < 1$, $0 < t \leq 1$, with the coefficient $\kappa_\alpha = 0.5$ and $U(x) = x$, $\rho = 1 + i$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$; the forcing function

$$f(x, t) = \frac{\Gamma(4 + \alpha)}{\Gamma(4)} e^{-\rho xt} t^3 \sin(\pi x) - \kappa_\alpha e^{-\rho xt} (t^{3+\alpha} + 1) (\rho^2 t^2 \sin(\pi x) - 2\pi \rho t \cos(\pi x) - \pi^2 \sin(\pi x)),$$

the initial condition $P(x, \rho, 0) = \sin(\pi x)$, and the boundary conditions $P(0, \rho, t) = P(1, \rho, t) = 0$. Then (2.1) has the exact solution

$$P(x, \rho, t) = e^{-\rho xt} (t^{3+\alpha} + 1) \sin(\pi x).$$

Tables 2 and 3 show that the algorithms with $q = 1$ and 4 have the global truncation errors $\mathcal{O}(\tau + h^2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\tau^4 + h^2)$ at time $T = 1$, respectively.

Example 3 [Finite Element; The backward fractional Feynman–Kac equation (3.1)] We use the finite element method (3.23) with the piecewise linear polynomial approximation ($r = 2$) in space to solve the backward fractional Feynman–Kac equation (3.1), on a finite domain $0 < x < 1$, $0 < t \leq 1$, with the coefficient $\kappa_\alpha = 0.5$, $U(x) = 1$, $\rho = 1 + i$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, the forcing function

$$f(x, t) = \frac{\Gamma(4 + \alpha)}{\Gamma(4)} e^{-\rho t} t^3 \sin(\pi x) + \kappa_\alpha \pi^2 e^{-\rho t} (t^{3+\alpha} + 1) \sin(\pi x),$$

Table 2 The maximum errors and convergent orders for (2.10), i.e., $q = 1$, when $U(x) = x$, $\rho = 1 + i$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\kappa_\alpha = 0.5$, $\tau = h^2$

h	$\alpha = 0.1$	Rate	$\alpha = 0.5$	Rate	$\alpha = 0.9$	Rate
1/10	1.1562e-002		1.1953e-002		1.3563e-002	
1/20	2.9178e-003	1.9864	3.0170e-003	1.9861	3.4222e-003	1.9867
1/40	7.3118e-004	1.9966	7.5624e-004	1.9962	8.5874e-004	1.9946
1/80	1.8290e-004	1.9991	1.8930e-004	1.9981	2.1484e-004	1.9990

Table 3 The maximum errors and convergent orders for (2.12) with $q = 4$, when $U(x) = x$, $\rho = 1 + i$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\kappa_\alpha = 0.5$, $h = \tau^2$

τ	$\alpha = 0.1$	Rate	$\alpha = 0.5$	Rate	$\alpha = 0.9$	Rate
1/10	1.1563e-004		1.0990e-004		1.0487e-004	
1/20	7.2278e-006	3.9998	6.8693e-006	3.9998	6.4844e-006	4.0154
1/40	4.5173e-007	4.0000	4.2926e-007	4.0003	4.0409e-007	4.0042
1/80	2.7781e-008	4.0233	2.6565e-008	4.0143	2.5510e-008	3.9855

Table 4 The maximum errors and convergent orders for the finite element method (3.23), when $U(x) = 1$, $\rho = 1 + i$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\kappa_\alpha = 0.5$, $\tau = h^2$

h	$\alpha = 0.1$	Rate	$\alpha = 0.5$	Rate	$\alpha = 0.9$	Rate
1/10	6.5499e-004		1.6544e-003		3.6377e-003	
1/20	1.6658e-004	1.9753	4.2039e-004	1.9765	9.2480e-004	1.9758
1/40	4.1822e-005	1.9939	1.0552e-004	1.9942	2.3216e-004	1.9940
1/80	1.0467e-005	1.9985	2.6407e-005	1.9985	5.8101e-005	1.9985

the initial condition $P(x, \rho, 0) = \sin(\pi x)$, and the boundary conditions $P(0, \rho, t) = P(1, \rho, t) = 0$. Then (3.1) has the exact solution

$$P(x, \rho, t) = e^{-\rho t} (t^{3+\alpha} + 1) \sin(\pi x).$$

Table 4 shows the maximum errors at time $T = 1$ with $\tau = h^2$, and the numerical results confirm that the finite element method has the global truncation error $\mathcal{O}(\tau + h^2)$.

4.2 Simulations with Dirac Delta Function as Initial Condition

Let the joint probability density function $P(x, A, t)$ be a real function of A , with $P(x, A, t) = 0$ for $A < 0$; the Laplace transform and its inversion formula are defined as follows:

$$P(x, \rho, t) = \mathcal{L}\{P(x, A, t)\} = \int_0^\infty P(x, A, t) e^{-\rho A} dA, \quad \rho = v + i\omega;$$

$$P(x, A, t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{P(x, \rho, t)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{v-i\infty}^{v+i\infty} P(x, \rho, t) e^{\rho A} d\rho, \quad (4.1)$$

Fig. 1 $J(A)$ for (2.12) with $U(x)$ defined in (4.3)

Fig. 2 $J(A)$ for (2.12) with $U(x)$ defined in (4.4)

where $\nu > 0$ is arbitrary, but is greater than the real parts of all the singularities of $P(x, \rho, t)$. According to Abate's method [1] (or see “Appendix”), we can take

$$\rho_k = \nu + i \frac{\pi}{A} k, \quad \text{with } \nu = \frac{18.4}{2A}, \quad i = \sqrt{-1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.2)$$

Simulate the forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equations (2.13) and (2.12), respectively, on a finite domain $0 < x < 1$, $0 < t \leq T$, with the coefficient $\kappa_\alpha = 0.5$ and the forcing function $f(x, t) = 0$ and take

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in (0.5, 1), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Fig. 3 $J(A)$ for (2.12) with $U(x)$ defined in (4.4)

Fig. 4 $J(A)$ for (2.12) with $U(x)$ defined in (4.4)

$$\text{or } U(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in (0.25, 0.75), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

The initial condition $P(x, \rho, 0) = \delta_a(x - 0.5)$ (Dirac delta function), and the boundary conditions $P(0, \rho, t) = P(1, \rho, t) = 0$, where $\rho = \{\rho_k\}_{k=0}^{35}$. The Dirac delta function is defined by the limit of the sequence of Gaussians

$$\delta_a(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4a}} \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0;$$

and we take $a = 0.0005$ as the approximation in numerical computations.

Fig. 5 $J(A)$ for (2.13) with $U(x)$ defined in (4.3)

Fig. 6 $J(A)$ for (2.13) with $U(x)$ defined in (4.4)

The corresponding procedure of generating Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 is executed as follows:

- (1) For every fixed α , A and ρ_k ($k=0,1,\dots,35$), according to (2.12) or (2.13), we obtain $P(x, \rho_k, t)$ at time T with $q = 2$, $\tau = h = 1/500$ in (2.12) or (2.13).
- (2) From Abate's method [1] (see the "Appendix"), we get $P(x, A, t)$.
- (3) According to the composite trapezoidal formula, we get $J(A) = \int_0^1 P(x, A, t) dx$.

Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 show that the conservation of probability, i.e., the areas under the curves at time $T = 0.5$ and $T = 1.0$ are almost the same.

Fig. 7 $K(x)$ and $KK(x)$ for (2.12), respectively

Fig. 8 $K(x)$ and $KK(x)$ for (2.13), respectively

The corresponding procedure of generating Figs. 7 and 8 is executed as follows:

- (1) For every fixed α , A and ρ_k ($k=0,1,\dots,35$), according to (2.12) or (2.13), we obtain $P(x, \rho_k, t)$ at time T with $q = 2$, $\tau = h = 1/500$ in (2.12) or (2.13).
- (2) From Abate's method [1] (see the "Appendix"), we get $P(x, A, t)$.
- (3) Using the composite trapezoidal formula, we get $K(x) := P_{FFK}(x, t) = \int_0^T P(x, A, t) dA$ with $U(x) = 1$ on the domain $x \in (0, 1)$, where $A = (0 : 0.008 : 0.2)$ is used in calculation.

- (4) When $U(x) = 0$ on the domain $x \in (0, 1)$, using (2.12) or (2.13), we obtain $K K(x) := P_{FFP}(x, t)$.

Figures 7 and 8 show that $K(x) = K K(x)$, which further confirms the effectiveness of the provided schemes and, of course, the correctness of the algorithm of the numerical inversion of Laplace transformations.

5 Conclusion

The properties and numerical discretizations of fractional substantial derivative are detailedly analyzed in [7]. This paper further discusses the numerical algorithms for the forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equations with fractional substantial derivative. Finite difference methods are used to solve both the forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equations; and the finite element methods are applied to solve the backward fractional Feynman–Kac equation. The finite difference scheme with first order accuracy in time direction and the finite element methods for the backward Feynman–Kac equation are theoretically analyzed, including the unconditional stability and the convergence; in particular, the optimal convergent order is obtained for the finite element method. Extensive numerical experiments are performed for the schemes of both forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equations. Especially, when $\rho = 0$, both the forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equations reduce to the celebrated fractional Fokker–Planck equation. By comparing the marginal PDF of the solutions of both forward and backward fractional Feynman–Kac equations with the solution of fractional Fokker–Planck equation, the effectiveness of the proposed schemes are further verified.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11271173, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant No. lzujbky-2014-228. EB thanks the Israel Science Foundation.

Appendix

To prove that (1.4) is equivalent to (1.5), we first introduce some properties of the fractional substantial calculus.

For $0 < \alpha < 1$, using Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.6 of [7], there exists

$${}^s D_t^\alpha [{}^s I_t^\alpha P(t)] = P(t); \quad (6.1)$$

$${}^s I_t^\alpha [{}^s D_t^\alpha P(t)] = P(t) - [{}^s D_t^{\alpha-1} P(t)]_{t=0} \frac{t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\rho U(x)t}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}; \quad (6.2)$$

$${}_c D_t^\alpha P(x, t) = {}^s D_t^{\alpha-1} [{}^s D_t^1 P(t)] = {}^s D_t^\alpha P(t) - \frac{t^{-\alpha} e^{-\rho U(x)t}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} P(0). \quad (6.3)$$

Theorem 5.1 Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $P(x, t) \in C_{x,t}^{2,1}[a, b] \times [0, T]$. Then

$${}^s D_t^1 P(x, t) = {}^s D_t^{1-\alpha} \left[\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, t) \right], \quad (6.4)$$

is equivalent to

$${}_c D_t^\alpha P(x, t) = {}^s D_t^\alpha P(x, t) - \frac{t^{-\alpha} e^{-\rho U(x)t}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} P(x, 0) = \kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, t). \quad (6.5)$$

Proof Derive (6.5) from (6.4). Performing both sides of (6.4) by ${}^sD_t^{\alpha-1}$ leads to

$${}^sD_t^{\alpha-1}[{}^sD_t P(x, t)] = {}^sD_t^{\alpha-1} \left\{ {}^sD_t^{1-\alpha} \left[\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, t) \right] \right\}.$$

According to above equation and (6.3), (6.2), we get

$${}^sD_t^\alpha P(x, t) - \frac{t^{-\alpha} e^{-\rho U(x)t} P(x, 0)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} = \kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, t) - {}^sD_t^{-\alpha} \left[\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, t) \right]_{t=0} \frac{t^{-\alpha} e^{-\rho U(x)t}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}.$$

If a function $P(t)$ is continuously differentiable in the closed interval $[0, t]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^sD_t^{-\alpha} P(t)|_{t=0} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\rho U(x)(t-\tau)} P(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\frac{t^\alpha e^{-\rho U(x)t}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} P(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^\alpha e^{-\rho U(x)(t-\tau)} [\rho U(x) P(\tau) + P'(\tau)] d\tau \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $P(x, t) \in C_{x,t}^{2,1}[a, b] \times [0, T]$, we have

$${}^sD_t^{-\alpha} \left[\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, t) \right]_{t=0} = 0.$$

It implies that (6.5) holds.

Derive (6.4) from (6.5). Performing both sides of (6.5) by ${}^sD_t^{1-\alpha}$ results in

$${}^sD_t^{1-\alpha} \left[{}^sD_t^\alpha P(x, t) - \frac{t^{-\alpha} e^{-\rho U(x)t}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} P(x, 0) \right] = {}^sD_t^{1-\alpha} \left[\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x, t) \right].$$

Using (6.3) and (6.1), there exists

$${}^sD_t^{1-\alpha} \left[{}^sD_t^\alpha P(x, t) - \frac{t^{-\alpha} e^{-\rho U(x)t}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} P(x, 0) \right] = {}^sD_t^{1-\alpha} [{}^sD_t^{\alpha-1} [{}^sD_t^1 P(x, t)]] = {}^sD_t^1 P(x, t).$$

That is (6.4) holds.

Algorithm 1 The MATLAB Program for Numerical Inversion of Laplace Transforms

---

```
% For the convenience to the reader, we add Matlab codes for the inverse Laplace transforms
% used in this paper; for the details of the derivation of the algorithm, one can refer to [1].
1: function [Uappr,error]=Laplace Feynman Euler (A, x)
2: m = 20; Ntr = 15; U = exp(18.4/2)/A; X = 18.4/(2 * A); H =  $\pi/A$ ;
3: C(1)=1;
4: for i=2:m+1
5:   C(i)=C(i-1)*(m+1-i)/(i-1);
6: end
7: % Assume that  $P(x, \rho_k, t)$  is obtained with  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m + Ntr$  for fixed  $x, t$  and  $A$ .
8: Sum=  $P(x, \rho_0, t)/2$ ;
9: fnRf(k)=real( $P(x, \rho_k, t)$ );
10: for N=1:Ntr
11:   Y = N * H;
12:   Sum=Sum+ $(-1)^N$ *fnRf(N);
13: end
14: SU(1)=Sum;
15: for K=1:m
16:   N=Ntr+K;
17:   Y=N*H;
18:   SU(K+1)=SU(K)+ $(-1)^N$ *fnRf(N);
19: end
20: Avgsu=0; Avgsl=0;
21: for J=1:m
22:   Avgsu=Avgsu+C(J)*SU(J);
23:   Avgsl=Avgsl+C(J)*SU(J+1);
24: end
25: Uappr=U*Avgsu/ $2^{(m-1)}$ ; Uappr1=U*Avgsl/ $2^{(m-1)}$ ;
26: error=abs(Uappr-Uappr1)/2.
```

References

1. Abate, J.: Numerical inversion of Laplace transforms of probability distributions. *ORSA J. Comput.* **7**, 36–43 (1995)
2. Agmon, N.: Residence times in diffusion processes. *J. Chem. Phys.* **81**, 3644 (1984)
3. Barkai, E.: Fractional Fokker–Planck equation, solution, and application. *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 046118 (2001)
4. Barkai, E., Metzler, R., Klafter, J.: From continuous time random walks to the fractional Fokker–Planck equation. *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 132 (2000)
5. Carmi, S., Barkai, E.: Fractional Feynman–Kac equation for weak ergodicity breaking. *Phys. Rev. E* **84**, 061104 (2011)
6. Carmi, S., Turgeman, L., Barkai, E.: On distributions of functionals of anomalous diffusion paths. *J. Stat. Phys.* **141**, 1071–1092 (2010)
7. Chen, M.H., Deng, W.H.: Discretized fractional substantial calculus. [arXiv:1310.3086](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.3086) [math.NA]
8. Chen, M.H., Deng, W.H.: Fourth order accurate scheme for the space fractional diffusion equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* (in press). [arXiv:1304.7425](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.7425). [math.NA]
9. Chen, M.H., Deng, W.H.: Fourth order difference approximations for space Riemann–Liouville derivatives based on weighted and shifted Lubich difference operators. *Commun. Comput. Phys.* (2014). doi:[10.4208/cicp.120713.280214a](https://doi.org/10.4208/cicp.120713.280214a)
10. Chen, M.H., Deng, W.H., Wu, Y.J.: Superlinearly convergent algorithms for the two-dimensional space–time Caputo–Riesz fractional diffusion equation. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **70**, 22–41 (2013)
11. Chen, S., Liu, F., Zhuang, P., Anh, V.: Finite difference approximation for the fractional Fokker–Planck equation. *Appl. Math. Model.* **33**, 256–273 (2009)
12. Deng, W.H.: Finite element method for the space and time fractional Fokker–Planck equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **47**, 204–226 (2008)
13. Ervin, V.J., Roop, J.P.: Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation. *Numer. Methods Part Differ. Equ.* **22**, 558–576 (2005)
14. Friedrich, R., Jenko, F., Baule, A., Eule, S.: Anomalous diffusion of inertial, weakly damped particles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 230601 (2006)

15. Hu, J.W., Tang, H.M.: Numerical Methods for Differential Equations. Scientific Press, China (1999)
16. Jiang, Y.J., Ma, J.T.: High-order finite element methods for time-fractional partial differential equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **235**, 3285–3290 (2011)
17. Kac, M.: On distributions of certain Wiener functionals. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **65**, 1–13 (1949)
18. Li, X.J., Xu, C.J.: A space-time spectral method for the time fractional diffusion equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **47**, 2108–2131 (2009)
19. Liu, F., Turner, I., Anh, V., Yang, Q., Burrage, K.: A numerical method for the fractional Fitzhugh–Nagumo monodomain model. *ANZIAM J.* **54**, C608–C629 (2013)
20. Liu, F., Chen, S., Turner, I., Burrage, K., Anh, V.: Numerical simulation for two-dimensional Riesz space fractional diffusion equations with a nonlinear reaction term. *Cent. Eur. J. Phys.* **11**, 1221–1232 (2013)
21. Majumdar, S.N.: Brownian functionals in physics and computer science. *Curr. Sci.* **89**, 2076–2092 (2005)
22. Mandelbrot, B.B., Van Ness, J.W.: Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications. *SIAM Rev.* **10**, 422–437 (1968)
23. Meerschaert, M.M., Tadjeran, C.: Finite difference approximations for two-sided space-fractional partial differential equations. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **56**, 80–90 (2006)
24. Metzler, R., Klafter, J.: The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. *Phys. Rep.* **339**, 1–77 (2000)
25. Metzler, R., Barkai, E., Klafter, J.: Anomalous diffusion and relaxation close to thermal equilibrium: a fractional Fokker–Planck equation approach. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3653 (1999)
26. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York (1999)
27. Sun, Z.Z., Wu, X.N.: A fully discrete difference scheme for a diffusion-wave system. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **56**, 193–209 (2006)
28. Thomée, V.: Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems. Springer, Berlin (2006)
29. Turgeman, L., Carmi, S., Barkai, E.: Fractional Feynman–Kac Equation for Non-Brownian functionals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 190201 (2009)
30. Ye, H., Liu, F., Anh, V., Turner, I.: Maximum principle and numerical method for the multi-term time–space Riesz–Caputo fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **227**, 531–540 (2014)
31. Yu, Q., Liu, F., Turner, I., Burrage, K.: Numerical simulation of the fractional Bloch equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **255**, 635–651 (2014)
32. Zeng, F., Li, C., Liu, F., Turner, I.: The use of finite difference/element approximations for solving the time-fractional subdiffusion equation. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **35**, 2976–3000 (2013)
33. Zhou, H., Tian, W.Y., Deng, W.H.: Quasi-compact finite difference schemes for space fractional diffusion equations. *J. Sci. Comput.* **56**, 45–66 (2013)